



maryland  
**health services**  
cost review commission

---

## Quality Policies Webinar

June 21, 2023

# Agenda

- Introduction
  - Total Cost of Care (TCOC) Model
  - Overview of Pay-for-Performance Programs
- Rate Year 2025 Approved Program Updates
  - MHAC
  - QBR
  - RRIP/Disparity Gap
  - PAU Savings
  - Maximum Guardrail
- Digital Measures Reporting
- CY 2023 Monitoring Reports
- CRISP Reports to Track Hospital and Statewide Progress
  - Hospital Reports
  - SIHIS Reports
- Resources
- Q&A

# TCOC Model

# Transitioning from the All-Payer Model to the Total Cost of Care Model

All-Payer Model (2014-2018):  
**Hospital Focus**

Focus on:

**Hospital** savings

**Hospital** quality

**Hospital** alignment



Total Cost of Care Model (2019-2028): **Health System Focus**

Focus on:

**Total Cost of Care** savings


Hospital quality and **population health**

**System-wide provider** alignment, including opportunities for **primary care** and other **non-hospital providers**




# Total Cost of Care (TCOC) Model Targets

The TCOC Model requires the State of Maryland to meet the following targets:



# TCOC Model Components



## Population Health and Health Equity

Investment in initiatives that aim to make statewide improvements in the areas of diabetes, opioid addiction, and maternal and child health.

## Payment and Delivery System Reform

- Incentivization of care transformation and partnerships across settings of care by expanding opportunities for non-hospital provider participation in value-based programs


6

## Population-Based Revenue

- Expanded hospital quality requirements, incentives, and responsibility to control total costs through limited revenue-at-risk

# Statewide Integrated Health Improvement Strategy (SIHIS)

- SIHIS is designed to engage State agencies and private-sector partners to collaborate and invest in improving health, address disparities, and reduce costs for Marylanders.
- CMMI approved the SIHIS goals in March 2021.
- More information on SIHIS can be found on the HSCRC website.  
<https://hscrc.maryland.gov/Pages/Statewide-Integrated-Health-Improvement-Strategy-.aspx>



# Overview of Pay-for-Performance Programs



# HSCRC Performance Measurement Workgroup

- Broad stakeholder group of hospital, payer, quality measurement, academic, consumer, and government agency experts and representatives
- Meets monthly in-person and virtually (3rd Wednesday at 9:30am)
  - Meetings are public, email [hscrc.quality@maryland.gov](mailto:hscrc.quality@maryland.gov) to be added to listserv
- Reviews and recommends annual updates to the performance-based payment programs
- Considers and recommends strategic direction for the overall performance measurement system
  - Align to the extent possible with National measures and strategies
  - Incorporate new measures as available such as emergency department and outpatient measures
  - Broaden focus to patient-centered population health
  - Focus on high-need patients and chronic condition management
  - Build care coordination performance measures

# Guiding Principles for HSCRC Performance-Based Payment Programs

- Program must improve care for all patients, regardless of payer
- Program incentives should support achievement of TCOC model targets
- Program should prioritize measures that impact large number of patients, high cost, opportunity for improvement and areas of national focus
- Predetermined performance targets and financial impact
- Hospital ability to track progress
- Reduce disparities and advance health equity
- Encourage cooperation and sharing of best practices
- Consider all settings of care
- Programs should incentivize hospitals to improve the health of communities they serve through primary, secondary and tertiary prevention efforts

# Hospital Quality Adjustments

The following are HSCRC's four main quality payment incentive programs:

## Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions (MHAC) Program

*Encourages hospitals to reduce infections and complications acquired during a hospital stay*

## Quality Reimbursement Program (QBR)

*Focuses on patient experience, patient safety, and clinical quality outcomes*

## Readmissions Reduction Incentive Program (RRIP)

*Encourages hospitals to reduce readmissions within 30 days of discharge*

## Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU)

*Focuses on improving patient care and health through reducing potentially avoidable utilization*

11

HSCRC's quality programs are similar to federal Medicare pay-for-performance programs, but are, wherever possible, All-Payer (instead of Medicare-only) and tailored to address MD's unique quality improvement strategies

# RY 2025 Quality Programs

# RY 2025 Quality Program Timelines

Rate Year (Maryland Fiscal Year)	Q3-20	Q4-20	Q1-21	Q2-21	Q3-21	Q4-21	Q1-22	Q2-22	Q3-22	Q4-22	Q1-23	Q2-23	Q3-23	Q4-23	Q1-24	Q2-24	Q3-24	Q4-24	Q1-25	Q2-25	Q3-25	Q4-25
Calendar Year	Q1-20	Q2-20	Q3-20	Q4-20	Q1-21	Q2-21	Q3-21	Q4-21	Q1-22	Q2-22	Q3-22	Q4-22	Q1-23	Q2-23	Q3-23	Q4-23	Q1-24	Q2-24	Q3-24	Q4-24	Q1-25	Q2-25
Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions (MHAC)	MHAC Base Period						MHAC Performance Period*						Rate Year Impacted by MHAC Results									
	CMS Hospital Compare Performance Period (HCAHPS measures, All NHSN measures)**												Rate Year Impacted by QBR Results									
Quality Based Reimbursement (QBR)	Base Period: QBR Maryland Mortality, PSI-90, Follow-up Chronic Conditions						Performance Period: QBR Maryland Mortality, PSI-90, Follow-up Chronic Conditions															
	Hospital Compare THA/TKA Performance Period***																					
	RRIP Base Period#						RRIP Performance Period												Rate Year Impacted by RRIP			
Readmission Reduction Incentives Program (RRIP)	RRIP Base Period#						RRIP Performance Period						Rate Year Impacted by RRIP									
RY 2024 PAU Savings							PAU Savings Performance Period						Rate Year Impacted by PAU Savings									

\* Two-year performance period for small hospitals

\*\* CMS Hospital Compare Base Period (HCAHPS measures, All NHSN Measures) CY 2019 Q1-Q4

\*\*\* Hospital Compare THA /TKA Complications Base Period April 1, 2015-March 31, 2018

X Indicates Data quarters CMS will not use due to COVID PHE

# RRIP Base Period for determining attainment standards. RRIP Improvement will be measured from CY 2018

# RY 2025 Maryland Hospital Acquired Condition (MHAC) Program

# Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions (MHAC) Program



## Purpose

To improve patient care and hospital decision-making by adjusting GBR based on 15 identified potentially preventable complications (PPCs), **complications acquired during a hospital stay** that were not present on admission

- PPCs can lead to **poor patient outcomes, including longer hospital stays, permanent harm, and death, and increased costs.**
- **Examples of PPCs** include an accidental laceration during a procedure, improper administration of medication, hospital-acquired pneumonia



## How it Works: Revenue-at-Risk

The program puts **2 percent** of inpatient hospital revenue at risk (maximum penalty/reward)



## Federal Alignment

The MHAC Program is **similar to the federal Medicare HAC Reduction Program (HACRP)** but is all-payer, uses a Maryland-specific list of PPC measures, and does not relatively rank hospitals in assigning financial rewards and penalties.

## RY 2025 Data Details

- “Base” Period: July 2020-June 2022
  - Used for calculation of performance standards and normative values for case-mix adjustment
  - Used to determine hospital specific PPC exclusions
  - Used to determine small hospitals
- Performance Period: CY 2023
- 3M APR-DRG and PPC Grouper Version 40





# MHAC Methodology

# Overview of MHAC Methodology

## Potentially Preventable Complication Measures

List of 15 clinically significant PPC included in payment program.

Acute Pulmonary Edema & Respiratory Failure w/o Ventilation	Post-Operative Infection & Deep Wound Disruption Without Procedure	In-Hospital Trauma & Fractures
Acute Pulmonary Edema & Respiratory Failure w/ Ventilation	Post-Operative Hemorrhage & Hematoma w/ Hemorrhage Control Procedure or I&D Proc	Septicemia & Severe Infections
Pulmonary Embolism	Accidental Puncture/Laceration During Invasive Procedure	Pneumonia Combo
Shock	Iatrogenic Pneumothorax	Other Complications of Obstetrical Surgical & Perineal Wounds
Venous Thrombosis	Major Puerperal Infection & Other Major Obstetric Complications	Encephalopathy

Global Exclusions:

- Discharges >6 PPCs
- APR-DRG SOI cells with less than 31 at-risk discharges

Hospital PPC Exclusions:


- <20 at-risk discharges
- <2 expected PPCs

## Case-Mix Adjustment and Standardized Scores

Performance Measure: CY 2023\*  
Observed to Expected PPC Ratio.

Expected calculated by applying statewide average PPC rates by diagnosis and severity of illness level to hospitals' patient mix (i.e., indirect standardization)

Attainment only score (0-100 points) calculated by comparing hospital performance to a statewide threshold and benchmark.



July 2020-June 2022 used to calculate statewide averages (norms) and thresholds, benchmarks.

\*Small hospitals will be assessed on CYs 22 & 23

## Hospital MHAC Score & Revenue Adjustments

Hospital MHAC Score is Sum of Earned Points / Possible Points with PPC Cost Weights Applied.

Scores Range from 0-100%  
Revenue neutral zone 60-70%

Max Penalty -2% & Reward +2%

MHAC Score	Revenue Adjustment
0%	-2.00%
10%	-1.67%
20%	-1.33%
30%	-1.00%
40%	-0.67%
50%	-0.33%
60% to 70% Hold Harmless	0.00%
80%	0.67%
90%	1.33%
100%	2.00%

## Performance Metric

- Hospital performance is measured using the Observed(O) / Expected(E) ratio for each PPC
- Lower number = better performance
- Expected number of PPCs for each hospital are calculated using the base period statewide PPC rates by APR-DRG and severity of illness (SOI)
  - See Appendix of the MHAC Final Recommendation or annual memo for details on how to calculate expected numbers

Normative values for calculating expected numbers are included in the MHAC Summary reports on the CRS portal

## Adjustments to PPC Measurement

- Adjustments are made to improve measurement fairness and stability
- For each hospital, discharges will be excluded if:
  - The discharge has > 6 PPCs (i.e., catastrophic cases)
  - The discharge is in an APR-DRG SOI group with less than 31 statewide discharges
- For each hospital, PPCs will be excluded if during the base period:
  - The number of discharges at-risk is less than 20
  - The number of expected cases is less than 2
- Two years of performance data (CY 22 & 23) are used for small hospitals (i.e., hospitals with less than 21,500 at-risk discharges and/or 22 expected PPCs across all payment program PPCs)

The list of hospital specific excluded PPCs is included in the MHAC Summary workbook on the CRS portal

## RY 2025 Payment PPCs

Data on each payment PPC is included in the MHAC Summary Report on the CRS Portal.

PPC Number	PPC Description
3	Acute Pulmonary Edema and Respiratory Failure without Ventilation
4	Acute Pulmonary Edema and Respiratory Failure with Ventilation
7	Pulmonary Embolism
9	Shock
16	Venous Thrombosis
28	In-Hospital Trauma and Fractures
35	Septicemia & Severe Infections
37	Post-Operative Infection & Deep Wound Disruption without Procedure

PPC Number	PPC Description
41	Post-Operative Hemorrhage & Hematoma w/ Hemorrhage Control Procedure or I&D
42	Accidental Puncture/Laceration During Invasive Procedure
47	Encephalopathy <b>NEW RY2025</b>
49	Iatrogenic Pneumothorax
60	Major Puerperal Infection and Other Major Obstetric Complications
61	Other Complications of Obstetrical Surgical & Perineal Wounds
67	Pneumonia Combo (with and without Aspiration)


## PPC Scoring: Benchmarks and Thresholds

- RY 2025 uses FY2021 and FY2022 (post COVID) to determine performance standards for each PPC
- A threshold and benchmark value for each PPC/PPC combo are calculated based upon the base period data
  - Used to convert O/E ratio for each PPC to points (0-100)
  - Threshold = 10th percentile
  - Benchmark = 90th percentile
- Monitoring reports provide performance results for all PPCs

Thresholds and Benchmarks for each payment PPC are included in the MHAC Summary Report on the CRS Portal.

# MHAC Score: Attainment Score

## PPC 9 Shock – Attainment Score



Hospital O/E ratio = 0.90  
*Calculates to an attainment score of 65*

## 3M Cost-Based Weights: Proxy for Harm

The cost estimates are the relative incremental cost increase for each PPC, which can be a proxy for the harm of the PPC within the hospital stay.

Hypothetical Example with Three PPCs: Weights Applied to Scores								
	PPC	Attainment Points	Denominator	Unweighted Score	Weight	Weighted Attainment Points	Weighted Denominator	Weighted Score
<b>Hospital A</b> Worse on Higher Weighted PPCs	PPC X	10	10		0.5	5	5	
	PPC Y	5	10		1	5	10	
	PPC Z	3	10		2	6	20	
		18	30	60%		16	35	46%
<b>Hospital B</b> Worse on Lower Weighted PPCs	PPC X	3	10		0.5	1.5	5	
	PPC Y	5	10		1	5	10	
	PPC Z	10	10		2	20	20	
		18	30	60%		26.5	35	76%

Version 40 PPC Cost Weights are included in the MHAC Summary Report on the CRS Portal.



# RY 2025 Payment PPCs Cost Weights

PPC Number	PPC Description	3M v40 PPC Cost Weight
3	Acute Pulmonary Edema and Respiratory Failure without Ventilation	0.5005
4	Acute Pulmonary Edema and Respiratory Failure with Ventilation	1.5519
7	Pulmonary Embolism	1.1248
9	Shock	1.0478
16	Venous Thrombosis	1.5503
28	In-Hospital Trauma and Fractures	0.3379
35	Septicemia & Severe Infections	1.4394
37	Post-Operative Infection & Deep Wound Disruption without Procedure	1.5936

PPC Number	PPC Description	3M v40 PPC Cost Weight
41	Post-Operative Hemorrhage & Hematoma w/ Hemorrhage Control Procedure or I&D	0.9745
42	Accidental Puncture/Laceration During Invasive Procedure	0.4264
47	Encephalopathy	0.7724
49	Iatrogenic Pneumothorax	0.4717
60	Major Puerperal Infection and Other Major Obstetric Complications	0.8978
61	Other Complications of Obstetrical Surgical & Perineal Wounds	0.2099
67	Pneumonia Combo (with and without Aspiration)	1.1332

## Score & Revenue Adjustment Scale

- The final score is calculated across all PPCs included for each hospital.
  - Sum numerator and denominator points to get percent score
- Scores and revenue adjustment scale range from 0% to 100%; scale has hold harmless zone between 60% and 70%.
  - Hold harmless zone determined from average/median score modeling
- Maximum penalty and reward is 2% of inpatient revenue.


The MHAC Summary report on the CRS portal provides PPC specific points, Hospital MHAC Scores, calculation sheet, and revenue adjustment scale.

Final MHAC Score	Revenue Adjustment
0%	-2.00%
5%	-1.83%
10%	-1.67%
15%	-1.50%
20%	-1.33%
25%	-1.17%
30%	-1.00%
35%	-0.83%
40%	-0.67%
45%	-0.50%
50%	-0.33%
55%	-0.17%
60%	0.00%
65%	0.00%
70%	0.00%
75%	0.33%
80%	0.67%
85%	1.00%
90%	1.33%
95%	1.67%
100%	2.00%
<b>Penalty Cut-point</b>	<b>60%</b>
<b>Reward Cut-point</b>	<b>70%</b>

## RY 2025 Measurement Methodology Recap

- Evaluate hospital performance on PPCs
  - 15 included in payment program, others in monitoring for potential inclusion in future years
- Assess hospital performance on attainment from 0-100% with a revenue hold harmless zone between 60-70%
- Weight PPCs in payment program by 3M cost weights as a proxy for patient harm
- Maximum reward and penalty at 2%

## Payment PPCs by Quarter



Hospitals are exceeding the TCOC model goal to not backside on PPC reductions gained under the All-Payer model.

Note: Based on V39 final data through December 2022



# QBR Methodology

# Quality Based Reimbursement (QBR) Program



## Purpose

To incentivize quality improvement across three patient-centered quality measurement domains:

- 1. Person and Community Engagement (HCAHPS)** - 8 survey-based measures + follow-up
- 2. Clinical Care** - inpatient mortality rate + hip/knee replacement complication rate
- 3. Safety** - 6 measures of in-patient Safety (National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Healthcare Associated Infections) + Patient Safety Index (PSI-90)




## How it Works: Revenue-at-Risk

The Program puts **2 percent** of inpatient hospital revenue at risk (maximum penalty/reward)




## Federal Alignment

The QBR program uses **similar measures to the federal Medicare Value-Based Purchasing (VBP) program** but has an all-payer focus and can adjust domain weights to focus on MD-specific improvements.



# Overview of QBR Methodology



# QBR Methodology: Measure Inclusion Rules and Data Sources

- HSCRC will use the **data submitted to CMS for the Inpatient Quality Reporting** program for calculating hospital performance scores for all measures with exception of PSI-90 and the mortality measures, which are calculated using HSCRC case-mix data. The TFU measure is calculated using CCLF data.
- **Hospitals must have at least 100 HCAHPS survey/HCAHPS results** to be included in the program.
- For hospitals with measures that have **no base period data, attainment only scores** will be used to evaluate performance.
- Domain weighting is adjusted based on data availability (ie., if no safety score, PCE domain weighted at 77% and Clinical Care domain weighted at 23%)

**\*It is imperative that hospitals review the data in the Care Compare Preview Reports as soon as it is available from CMS.\***



# QBR Methodology: Measure Inclusion Rules and Data Sources

DOMAIN	Clinical Care	Person and Community Engagement*	Safety
<b>Inclusion Criteria</b>	Mortality: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>No minimum threshold</b> for hospitals</li> <li>- Statewide: <b>20 cases for APR-DRG cell</b> to be included</li> </ul> THA/TKA: 25 cases for hospitals^	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- At least <b>100 surveys</b> during performance period</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- At least <b>three measures</b> needed to calculate hospital score</li> <li>- Each NHSN measure requires at least <b>one predicted infection</b> during the applicable period</li> </ul>
<b>Data Source</b>	Mortality: <b>HSCRC Case-Mix Data</b>  THA/TKA: CMS Hospital Compare	<b>HCAHPS surveys</b> reported to CMS Hospital Compare  TFU: CCLF	<b>CDC- NHSN data</b> reported to CMS Hospital Compare  PSI-90: HSCRC Case-Mix

\*Must have PCE domain score to be included in QBR Program

^Maryland: Hospital with less than 50 elective procedures over three years that are in the top 10th percentile of complexity as defined by average case mix index

# RY 2025 Maryland Mortality Measure

- Maryland measures **inpatient** mortality, risk-adjusted for:
  - 3M risk of mortality (ROM)
  - Sex, age, and age-squared
  - Transfers from another acute hospital within MD
  - Palliative Care status
  - Confirmed COVID-19 flag
- Measure inclusion/exclusion criteria provided in calculation sheet.
  - Subset of APR-DRGs account for 80% of all mortalities.
  - Specific high mortality APR-DRGs and very low mortality APR-DRGs are removed.
- All-Payer
- Hospitals evaluated using **risk-adjusted survival rate**

Case- and Hospital-level reports provided on  
CRS portal monthly.

# RY 2025 Timely Follow-up After Acute Exacerbations of Chronic Conditions

- NQF endorsed health plan measure that looks at percentage of ED, observation stays, and inpatient admissions for one of the following six conditions, where a follow-up was received within time frame recommended by clinical practice:
  - Hypertension (7 days)
  - Asthma (14 days)
  - Heart Failure (14 days)
  - CAD (14 days)
  - COPD (30 days)
  - Diabetes (30 days)
- COVID pts included
- Medicaid and Medicare FFS measured separately

Summary reports are posted to the CRS portal monthly. Case-Level reports are posted for Medicare only.

# RY 2025 All-Payer Patient Safety Index

PSI-90 is composite measure of 10 AHRQ-specified PSIs of in-hospital complications and adverse events following surgeries, procedures, and childbirth:

- PSI 03 Pressure Ulcer
- PSI 06 Iatrogenic Pneumothorax Rate
- PSI 08 In-Hospital Fall with Hip Fracture Rate
- PSI 09 Perioperative Hemorrhage or Hematoma Rate
- PSI 10 Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury Requiring Dialysis Rate
- PSI 11 Postoperative Respiratory Failure Rate
- PSI 12 Perioperative Pulmonary Embolism (PE) or Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) Rate
- PSI 13 Postoperative Sepsis Rate
- PSI 14 Postoperative Wound Dehiscence Rate
- PSI 15 Abdominopelvic Accidental Puncture or Laceration Rate

Case- and Hospital-level reports are posted to the CRS portal monthly.

# QBR Scoring: Better of Attainment or Improvement

## Attainment


- compares hospital's rate to a threshold and benchmark.
- if a hospital's score is equal to or greater than the benchmark, the hospital will receive 10 points for achievement.
- if a hospital's score is equal to or greater than the achievement threshold (but below the benchmark), the hospital will receive a score of 1–9 based on a linear scale established for the achievement range.

## Improvement

- compares hospital's rate to the base year (the highest rate in the previous year for opportunity and HCAHPS performance scores)
- if a hospital's score on the measure during the performance period is greater than its baseline period score but below the benchmark (within the improvement range), the hospital will receive a score of 0–9 based on the linear scale that defines the improvement range.


# HCAHPS Performance: MD Compared to the Nation, Top-Box Scores, CY 2019 vs 7/1/21-6/30/22

HCAHPS Measure Results: Maryland Compared to Nation




# Linear Measure HCAHPS Results, CY 2019 vs 7/1/21-6/30/22

- In RY 2024, HCAHPS linear measure results were added to further incentivize focus on HCAHPS by providing credit for improvements along the continuum and not just improvements in top-box scores
- 20% of PCE domain (10% of overall QBR score)
- 4 measures chosen are correlated with other patient safety outcomes



# Medicare FFS TFU, CY 2020-2022

## Medicare FFS Timely Follow-Up Rate







# Medicaid TFU

- Beginning in RY 2025, Medicaid TFU rates were added to the QBR as 5% of the PCE domain (2.5% of overall QBR score)
- Added due to disparities between payers (Medicaid and Medicare)

Timely Follow-Up: All Conditions Over Time




# NHSN SIR Values for CY19 Compared CY2021Q3- CY2022Q2, Maryland vs. the Nation.




# Maryland Statewide All-Payer Performance on PSI-90 and Component Indicators, CY 2022 Compared to FY 2021 (July 2020-June 2021)

Maryland PSI-90 and Component Performance




# Hospital Level Performance, Mortality Measure




# THA/TKA

Data Source: Care Compare  
Data Time Period: 4/1/18-3/31/2021



THA/TKA by-hospital performance  
Data Time Period: 4/1/18-3/31/2021



# Overall Score & Revenue Adjustment Scale

1. Assess performance on each measure in the domain
2. Standardize measure scores relative to performance standards
3. Calculate the total points a hospital earned divided by the total possible points for each domain
4. Finalize the total hospital QBR score (0 to 100 percent) by weighting the domains based on the overall percentage placed on each domain
5. Convert the total hospital QBR score into a revenue adjustment using the preset scale

Abbreviated Pre-Set Scale	QBR Score	Financial Adjustment
Max Penalty	0%	-2.00%
	10%	-1.51%
	20%	-1.02%
	30%	-0.54%
Penalty/Reward Cutpoint	41%	0.00%
	50%	0.46%
	60%	0.97%
	70%	1.49%
Max Reward	80%+	2.00%

# RY 2025 Measurement Methodology Recap


- Measures are converted to 0-10 points using performance standards
- Final score is the better of attainment or improvement
- QBR Score: Sum of earned points/possible points with domain weights
- Preset Scale of 0-80%, with 41% cutpoint
- Max penalty and reward at 2%
- PCE Domain (50%)
  - HCAHPS top-box
  - HCAHPS linear
  - TFU- Medicare FFS
  - TFU- Medicaid **\*NEW in RY 2025\***
- Safety Domain (35%)
  - PSI-90
  - 6 NHSN HAI measures
- Clinical Care Domain (15%)
  - IP Mortality
  - THA/TKA



## RRIP Methodology



# RRIP and RRIP-Disparity Gap Methodology Overview



# Readmissions Reduction Incentive Program (RRIP)



## Purpose

To incentivize hospitals to reduce avoidable readmissions by linking payment to (1) improvements in readmissions rates, and (2) attainment of relatively low readmission rates.

- **What is a readmission?** A readmission occurs when a patient is discharged from a hospital and is subsequently re-admitted to any hospital within 30 days of the discharge.
- **Why focus on readmissions?** Preventable hospital readmissions may result from complications from previous hospitalizations or inadequate care coordination following discharge and can lead to substandard care quality for patients and unnecessary costs.



## How it Works: Revenue-at-Risk

The program puts **2 percent** of inpatient hospital revenue at risk (maximum penalty/reward) + 0.5 percent max disparity gap reward



## Federal Alignment

The RRIP is **similar to the Medicare Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program (HRRP)**, but has an all-payer focus.

# Performance Metric

- Case-Mix Adjusted Inpatient Readmission Rate
  - 30-Day
  - All-Cause, All-Payer
  - All-Hospital (both intra- and inter- hospital)
  - Chronic beds and readmissions to specialty hospitals included
- Exclusions:
  - Same-day and next-day transfers
  - Rehabilitation Hospitals
  - Pediatric Oncology discharges
  - Planned readmissions – CMS Planned Readmission Logic (v4 2022), rehab and OB deliveries
  - Deaths, Left AMA
- Adjustments
  - APR-DRG SOI


Summary and case-level\* reports are posted to the CRS portal monthly.

\*Patients who opt-out of CRISP data-sharing and/or experience SUD are excluded from patient-level reports

## Data Sources and Timeframe

- Inpatient abstract/case mix data with CRISP Unique Identifier (EID).
  - Base period: CY 2018 (using CY21 norms)
  - Normative period: CY 2021 (post COVID)
  - Performance period: CY 2023
  - v40 of the APR grouper
- Data on out of state readmissions is obtained from Medicare and used to adjust the all-payer readmission rate
- Looks 30-days after the performance period

### Example CY 2023



# Case-Mix Adjustment

- Hospital performance is measured using the Observed (O) unplanned readmissions / Expected (E) unplanned readmission ratio and multiplying by the statewide base period readmission rate.
- Expected number of unplanned readmissions for each hospital are calculated using the discharge APR-DRG and severity of illness (SOI).

# Measuring the Better of Attainment or Improvement

- The RRIP continues to measure the better of attainment or improvement due to concerns that hospitals with low readmission rates may have less opportunity for improvement.
- RRIP adjustments are scaled, with maximum penalties up to 2% of inpatient revenue and maximum rewards up to 2% of inpatient revenue.

Rate Year	Performance Year	Improvement Target (from CY 2018)	Attainment Reward Threshold
RY 2025	CY 2023	7.50%	11.32%*

Attainment threshold is 65th percentile of readmission rate in 2018, further adjusted for out-of-state readmissions

# Improvement Scaling

- Improvement compares CY23 case-mix adjusted inpatient readmission rates to CY18 case-mix adjusted inpatient readmission rates
- Improvement Target for CY23 = 7.50% cumulative decrease
- Adjustments range from 2% reward to 2% penalty, scaled for performance

All Payer Readmission Rate Change CY18-23	RRIP % Inpatient Revenue Payment Adjustment
A	B
<b>Improving Readmission Rate</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
-28.50%	2.00%
-23.25%	1.50%
-18.00%	1.00%
-12.75%	0.50%
<b>-7.50%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
-2.25%	-0.50%
3.00%	-1.00%
8.25%	-1.50%
13.50%	-2.0%
<b>Worsening Readmission Rate</b>	<b>2.0%</b>

# Attainment Scaling

- Attainment scaling compares CY23 case-mix adjusted inpatient readmission rates to a state threshold (65th percentile of 2018 readmission rates)
  - Attainment scores adjusted to account for readmissions occurring at non-Maryland hospitals (OOS adjustment)
- Attainment Benchmark for CY23= 11.32%
- Adjustments range from 2% reward to 2% penalty, scaled for performance


All Payer Readmission Rate CY23	RRIP % Inpatient Revenue Payment Adjustment
<b>LOWER</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
8.15%	2.0%
8.47%	1.80%
8.79%	1.60%
9.10%	1.40%
9.42%	1.20%
9.74%	1.00%
10.05%	0.80%
10.37%	0.60%
10.69%	0.40%
11.00%	0.20%
<b>11.32%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
11.64%	-0.20%
11.95%	-0.40%
12.27%	-0.60%
12.59%	-0.80%
12.90%	-1.00%
13.22%	-1.20%
13.54%	-1.40%
13.85%	-1.60%
14.17%	-1.80%
14.49%	-2.00%



# R.Y. 2025 Measurement Methodology Recap


- Performance Metric: Case-mix adjusted readmission rates
- Case-mix adjustment:
  - $\text{Observed Unplanned Readmissions} / \text{Expected Unplanned Readmissions} * \text{Statewide Readmission Rate}$
- Readmissions targets: Better of improvement or attainment
  - Improvement – 7.50% Improvement target; max 2% reward at 28.50% improvement
  - Attainment – 11.32% Attainment target; max 2% reward at 8.15% rate

### Change in Case-Mix Adjusted Readmission Rate from CY2018 through February 2023



State on track to meet goal of 7.50% improvement in readmissions compared to CY 2018

## Readmissions - Rolling 12M through December 2022



	CY 2012	CY 2013	CY 2014	CY 2015	CY 2016	CY 2017	CY 2018	CY 2019	CY 2020	CY 2021	CY 2022
—●— National	15.76%	15.38%	15.50%	15.46%	15.40%	15.43%	15.45%	15.52%	15.55%	15.37%	15.36%
—●— Maryland	17.41%	16.60%	16.48%	15.97%	15.65%	15.24%	15.40%	14.94%	15.17%	15.68%	15.53%

## RRIP-Disparity Gap Methodology

# The RRIP's Disparities Component

The Readmissions Reduction Incentive Program includes a **within-hospital disparities readmissions measure**, making it the **only statewide program in the nation** with an **incentive for reducing disparities in all-payer readmission rates**.



HSCRC rewards hospitals with reductions in year-over-year overall readmission rate disparities related to race and socioeconomic status, with the goal of a 50% reduction in disparities over 8 years.




Rewards are scaled

- Rewards are based on performance in 2018
- Rewards begin at 0.25% IP revenue for hospitals on track for 50% reduction in the disparity gap measure over 8 years.
- Rewards are capped at 0.50% of IP revenue for hospitals on pace for a 75% or larger reduction in the disparity gap measure over 8 years

# Patient Adversity Index (PAI) Measurement

- HSCRC- developed claims-based measure
- Calculated for each discharge based on social factors:
  - Medicaid status (Yes or No)
  - Race (Black or Non-Black)
  - Area Deprivation Index (ADI), measure of neighborhood disadvantage
- Social factors weighted to reflect the strength of its association with readmissions
- Larger value = higher adversity
- PAI value is normalized so that statewide mean is 0. Each 1-point change in the scale represents a change of one standard deviation.




# Performance Metric- Readmissions Disparity Gap Improvement

Disparity gap: reflection of how readmission risk within a hospital changes for patients with varying levels of PAI

- Estimates the change in readmission rates per one-unit change in PAI at each hospital
- Adjustments made based on:
  - Age
  - APR-DRG
  - Gender
  - Mean PAI value at the hospital (to avoid penalizing hospitals that serve higher proportions of high PAI/highly disadvantaged patients)

Hospital payments are based on the percent change of the disparity gap between the base period (2018) and performance period (2023).



# RX 2025 Readmissions Disparity Gap Scaling


- Assesses improvement only
- Model Goal: At least 50% of hospitals reduce their disparities in readmissions by 50% by RY2029
- CY 2023 performance standards: -29.29% threshold to begin rewards, -50% for full reward
- Reward-only
- Rewards scaled up to .50% of IP revenue



## RY 2025 Measurement Methodology Recap

- Performance metric: % change in disparity gap comparing CY 2023 to CY 2018
- Begin receiving rewards at **29.29% reduction** in readmission disparities compared to CY 2018

## Readmissions Disparity Gap Improvement, CY 2022 compared to CY 2018





**RY 2024 Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU)  
Savings Policy**

# Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU) Savings Program



## Purpose

- To encourage hospitals to **focus on improved care coordination and enhanced community-based care** by holding hospitals accountable for potentially avoidable utilization
- Designed to encourage hospitals to look at **upstream, community-based factors** that influence utilization



## How it Works

“Potentially avoidable utilization” is defined as hospital care that is unplanned and may be prevented through improved care quality, care coordination, or effective community-based care



## Methodology

The HSCRC examines the following measures in its PAU calculations:

- **30-day readmissions (uses similar logic as RRIP)** – All Hospital All Cause 30-Day Readmissions with adjustment for planned admissions
- **Avoidable admissions** – Ambulatory-care sensitive conditions identified with AHRQ Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) and Pediatric Quality Indicators (PDIs) (e.g. admissions for diabetes complications, admissions for urinary tract infections)

# Purpose of PAU Savings and Overview

- PAU Savings Concept
  - The Global Budget Revenue (GBR) system assumes that hospitals will be able to reduce their PAU as care transforms in the state
  - The PAU Savings Policy prospectively reduces hospital GBRs in anticipation of those reductions
- Mechanism
  - Statewide reduction is scaled for each hospital based on avoidable admission rates and readmission revenue linked to the hospital in a prior year

# RY2024 PAU Measures

## Per Capita Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) and Pediatric Quality Indicators (PDIs)

- **Measure definition:** AHRQ Prevention Quality Indicators, which measure adult (18+) ambulatory care sensitive conditions. AHRQ Pediatric Quality Indicators focuses on preventable hospitalizations among pediatric patients
- **Data source:** Inpatient and observation stays  $\geq$  24 hours

## Revenue from PAU Readmissions

- **Measure definition:** 30-day unplanned readmissions measured at the sending hospital
- See next slide for methodology
- **Data Source:** Inpatient and observation stays  $\geq$  24 hours

## RY2024 PAU Readmissions

- For RY2024 staff plans to maintain the readmission measure used under the RY2020 PAU Savings Policy
  - PAU Readmissions revenue are associated with the sending hospital, rather than the receiving hospital
  - To calculate the readmissions revenue associated with the sending hospital:
    - Calculated the average cost of an intra-hospital readmission (to and from the same hospital)
    - Apply the average cost to the total number of sending discharges from that hospital which resulted in non-PQI readmission

# PAU reduction: Express as incremental

- Starting in RY2020, changed how PAU reduction is expressed in the update factor
  - Previously reversed out previous year's PAU reduction and implemented current year PAU reduction
  - Starting in RY20, calculating and displaying the incremental change only
- Use the inflation and population adjustments of the update factor to determine the statewide PAU reduction (i.e., do not provide inflation or population adjustments on PAU revenue)



# Statewide PAU Savings Calculation

Table 1. Calculation of Statewide PAU Reduction

Calculation of Statewide Reduction	Formulas
Total Permanent Revenue in Previous Rate Year	A
Inflation Factor + Volume Adj. for Upcoming Rate Year	B
Total Experienced PAU \$ in Previous Performance Year (CY)	C
Required Revenue Reduction \$	$D = B * C$
Required Revenue Reduction %	$E = D / A$
<b>Adjusted Rounded Statewide Revenue Reduction Value%</b>	<b><math>F = \text{Round}(E, 4)</math></b>
<b>Adjusted Required Revenue Reduction \$</b>	<b><math>G = F * A</math></b>
Total PAU %	H
Total PAU \$	$I = A * H$
Required Percent Reduction PAU	$J = G / I$


Table 2. Calculation of PAU Savings Domain Weights

PAU Savings Domains	PAU Revenue	PAU Domain Weights (%)	PAU Reduction (%)	PAU Reduction (\$)
Avoidable Admissions	K	$M = K / C$	$O = M * F$	$Q = M * G$
Non-PQI Readmissions	L	$N = L / C$	$P = N * F$	$R = N * G$
Total Experienced PAU \$	$K + L = C$	$M + N = 100\%$	$O + P = F$	$Q + R = G$

# Hospital-Specific PAU Savings

VALUES	FORMULAS
Hosp ID	A
Hospital Name	B
Permanent Total Revenue	C
Avoidable Admissions Performance	D
Avoidable Admissions Reduction	$E = D / \text{Statewide Total D} * \text{Adjusted proposed required revenue reduction \% (F in Previous Slide)} * \text{Avoidable Admissions (PQIs and PDIs) Domain Weight (M in previous slide)}$
Avoidable Admission Adjustment \$	$F = E * C$
Avoidable Admissions Adjustment \$(Normalized)	$G = F * (\text{Adjusted proposed required revenue reduction (G in previous slide)} * \text{Avoidable Admissions (PQIs and PDIs) Domain Weight (M in previous slide)}) / \text{Statewide Total F}$
CY22 Readmissions %	H
PAU Readmissions Adjustment %	$I = H / \text{Statewide Total H} * \text{Adjusted proposed required revenue reduction \% (F in Previous Slide)} * \text{Readmissions Domain Weight (N in previous slide)}$
PAU Readmissions Adjustment \$	$J = I * C$
PAU Readmissions Adjustment \$ (Normalized)	$K = J * (\text{Adjusted proposed required revenue reduction (G in previous slide)} * \text{Readmissions Domain Weight (N in previous slide)}) / \text{Statewide Total J}$
PAU reduction \$	$L = G + K$
PAU reduction %	$M = L / C$

# RY 2024 PAU Revenue Adjustments



Total Penalties	-\$95,969,711
% Permanent Revenue	-0.49%



**R  
Y 2025 Maximum Guardrail under Maryland Hospital  
Performance-Based Programs**

## Final Recommendations for RY 2025

<b>RY 2025 Quality Program Revenue Adjustments</b>	<b>Max Penalty</b>	<b>Max Reward</b>
MHAC	-2.0%	2.0%
RRIP	-2.0%	2.0%
QBR	-2.0%	2.0%

- Percent of ***Maryland Medicare revenue at-risk for quality (6%)*** multiplied by the percent of ***Maryland revenue attributable to inpatient services***
- RY 2025 Guardrail:  $6\% \times 58\%^* = 3.48\%$
- The quality adjustments are applied to inpatient revenue centers, similar to the approach used by CMS.
- RRIP-Disparity Gap is not included to encourage focus on and express the importance of advancing health equity

\*CY2022 % IP Services

# Digital Measures Reporting Requirements

Detailed reporting and submission information may be found on the [CRISP website](#)

# Digital Measures Reporting: Measures

Reporting Period/ payment determination	CMS Measures	Maryland Measures
CY 2022/ FY 2024	Three self-selected eQMs plus -Safe Use Opioids	Four eQMs: Two self-selected eQMs Two required measures: -Safe Opioids -ED-2
CY 2023/ FY 2025	Three self-selected eQMs plus Safe Use Opioids Concurrent Prescribing  Clinical data elements for two hybrid measures (beginning July 2023) -30-day mortality -30-day readmissions	Six required eQMs: -Safe Opioids -ED-2 -hyperglycemia -hypoglycemia -Cesarean Birth -Severe Obstetric complications Clinical data elements for two hybrid measures (beginning July 2023) -30-day mortality -30-day readmissions

# Digital Measures Reporting: Timeline

## ***Data Submission Due Dates***

### ***CY 2023 Performance Period Submission Windows for eCQMs***

Q1- 2023 data	Open: 07/15/2023	Close: 10/02/2023
Q2- 2023 data	Open: 07/15/2023	Close: 10/02/2023
Q3- 2023 data	Open: 10/15/2023	Close: 12/30/2023
Q4 2023 data	Open: 01/15/2024	Close: 04/01/2024

### ***CY 2023 Performance Period Submission Windows for Hybrid ClinicalData Elements***

Q3 2023 data	Open: 01/15/2024	Close: 04/01/2024
Q4 2023 data	Open: 01/15/2024	Close: 04/01/2024





# CY 2023 Monitoring Reports

## Monitoring Measures Update

- 30-Day All-Cause Mortality- death occurs up to 30 days post hospital discharge
- Excess Days in Acute Care (EDAC)- excess days that a hospital's patients spent in acute care within 30 days after discharge (ED visits, Obs stays, unplanned readmissions)
- Medicare TFU disparity gap measure- applying PAI to Medicare TFU measure
- Develop Timely Follow Up after a Behavioral Health Encounter measure
- Emergency Department Dramatic Improvement Effort (EDDIE)

# Inpatient Diabetes Screening Measure Definition

- Numerator: # of inpatients with a CRISP A1c record with admit date  $\leq$  service date  $\leq$  discharge date
- Denominator: # of inpatient discharges patient in monitoring period
- Exclusions
  - <35 years old
  - Died in hospital
  - Transferred
  - AMA

## Inpatient Diabetes Screening Policy Update

- Policy is currently in monitoring status
- Staff will evaluate transition to payment policy at end of CY23
- CRISP and HSCRC evaluated use of hospital lab feeds to track increases in IP A1c screening
- Hospital-level reporting now available in CRISP portal
- Working w/ CRISP on patient-level reporting
- Hospitals should confirm accuracy of A1c reporting in LOINC feeds to CRISP

## Avoidable ED Utilization Measure Update

- Measure focuses on reducing utilization by multi-visit patients
- Currently in monitoring status
- Staff will evaluate transition to payment policy at end of CY23
- Numerator: # of ED visits at a given hospital by patients who have  $\geq 4$  visits at any hospital in calendar year
- Denominator: # of ED visits at a given hospital
- Hospital-level reporting currently available on CRISP portal
- Staff working w/ CRISP on patient-level report

# Sample Report

<b>hospid</b>	<b>name</b>	<b>Visit Count, All ED Patients, 12 months ending 03/31/2023</b>	<b>Visit Count, MVPs, 12 months ending 03/31/2023</b>	<b>% Visits by MVPs, 12 months ending 03/31/2023</b>	<b>Percent Change from Base</b>
210000	Statewide	2054629	524714	25.54	0.63
210001	Meritus	66178	16967	25.64	1.75
210002	UMMC	50574	22137	43.77	0.9
210003	UM-Capital Region Medical Center	37497	6798	18.13	-1.15
210004	Holy Cross	64260	11309	17.6	3.53
210005	Frederick	70242	13938	19.84	1.54
210006	UM-Harford	20435	5675	27.77	1.83
210008	Mercy	37646	14732	39.13	-1.61
210009	Johns Hopkins	89502	32898	36.76	0.49
210010	UM-Dorchester	14265	4245	29.76	2.09

# EDDIE (Emergency Department Dramatic Improvement Effort)

- Monthly, public reporting of three measures:
  - ED1 Inpatient arrival to admission time
  - OP18 Outpatient ED arrival to discharge time
  - EMS turnaround time (data from MIEMSS)
- Hospital reporting:
  - Monthly reporting of ED1 and OP18 starting in July or August
  - Data will be used for public reporting at Commission meetings and other venues
  - HSCRC staff have been told this is feasible since most hospitals already monitor wait time data
  - Hospitals who do not report on monthly basis will be listed in public report
  - HSCRC will provide excel reporting template with high level specifications

## Rationale:

Commission is prioritizing immediate reporting of ED wait time data for public reporting, while developing payment incentives for CY 2024.

Monthly, publicly reported of timely ED wait time should drive improvement.



# HSCRC RY25 Policy Review-CRISP

June 2023

7160 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, MD 21046  
877.952.7477 | [info@crisphealth.org](mailto:info@crisphealth.org)  
[www.crisphealth.org](http://www.crisphealth.org)





# CRISP Reporting Services (CRS) Introduction

7160 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, MD 21046  
877.952.7477 | [info@crisphealth.org](mailto:info@crisphealth.org)  
[www.crisphealth.org](http://www.crisphealth.org)



## CRISP Reporting Services

---

- CRS ([reports.crisphealth.org](https://reports.crisphealth.org)) hosts reports for the HSCRC Quality Programs.
- HSCRC Regulatory reports and SIHIS Directional Indicators are refreshed once per month (beginning and middle of the month, respectively)



# CRS Login Page – reports.crisphealth.org

You can access CRS at reports.crisphealth.org with your User ID, password, and accepting the Authy two factor authentication notification.

If you do not have access to CRS, please reach out to [support@crisphealth.org](mailto:support@crisphealth.org) or the CRS Point of Contact for your organization

Log in to CRISP Reporting Services (CRS) Portal

Email

Next

[Reset your password?](#)

Warning: CRISP policy prohibits username and password sharing. Violation could result in account termination.

Questions or Concerns? Please contact the [CRISP Customer Care Team](#) at support@crisphealth.org or 877-952-7477.

© hMetrix powered by hMetrix

Two-Factor Authentication

Waiting for approval...

Open Authy mobile app and approve the login request

Trouble getting Auth request? Use TOTP token instead

[Forgot your phone? Request help!](#) [Request phone number reset](#)



# CRS Homepage

'Report Updates' provides details about the most recent release.

Users can search for reports in the search bar.

CRISP REPORTING SERVICES

Download HSCRC Regulatory Reports | Help | **Report Updates** | Kae

Search Reports...

*The CRS Portal is for authorized use only. By using this system, all users acknowledge notice of, and agree to comply with, CRISP's Participation Agreement ("PA") and CRISP Policies and Procedures. [Click here to review the policies and procedure](#). Users will not release, publicize, or permit others to release or publicize, data containing PHI or statistics where the number of observations in any given cell of tabulated data is less than or equal to ten, except to other members of my organization who are permitted access to PHI data under the applicable state and federal laws and regulations. CRISP uses a privacy monitoring tool to ensure all users are adherent to an approved policy or use case. By continuing to use this system you indicate your awareness of and consent to these terms and conditions of use.*

**Your Dashboard** ✕

Public Health | All-Payer Population | Medicare Population

**HSCRC Regulatory Reports** | Reports

Administration Reports | Introduction | Favorites

**Favorites**

- Quality Financial Impact Dashboard  
[HSCRC Regulatory Reports >> Quality Financial Impact Dashboard](#)
- SIHIS Directional Indicators  
[Public Health >> SIHIS](#)
- RY25 Readmissions Summary  
[HSCRC Regulatory Reports >> Readmissions](#)

Interactive Reports | Static Reports | Archive | Documentation

Reports favored by users appear here.

By clicking the card, you will be taken to another page that displays the reports available to the users.

Access User Guides for each report by clicking this icon



# CRS Homepage

CRISP  
CRISP REPORTING SERVICES

Connecting **Providers with Technology** to Improve Patient Care

**Download HSCRC Regulatory Reports** Help Report Updates Kaeding, Grace Logout

Choose A Facility For Static Reports: 210001 - Meritus Medical Center

Users can download all the Static HSCRC Regulatory Reports at once. By clicking on the Download HSCRC Regulatory Reports button, the Generate HSCRC Regulatory Reports pop-out window will appear. Users can select multiple hospitals. To download the most recent reports, click the Only New Reports check box.

Download HSCRC Regulatory Reports Help Report Updates Kaeding, Grace Logout

Choose A Facility For Static Reports: 210001 - Meritus Medical Center

Your Dashboard

- Introduction
- All-Payer Population
- Medicare Population
- HSCRC Regulatory Reports
- MDPOP Reports
- Public Health
- Favorites

**Generate HSCRC Regulatory Reports**

Hospital:

Only New Reports

Generate Reports

Interactive Reports Static Reports Archive Documentation Application Links




# CRS HSCRC Regulatory Reports

The wrench and spanner icon allows user to reorder the reports.

Search Reports...

Populations & Programs









- Public Health
- All-Payer Population
- Medicare Population
- HSCRC Regulatory Reports
- MDPCP Reports
- Internal Reports
- Administration Reports
- Introduction
- Favorites

Reports 

Readmissions

- Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions (MHAC)
- Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU)
- Quality Based Reimbursement (QBR)
- Quality Financial Impact Dashboard
- Market Shift
- Demographics Adjustment
- CDS-A Reports

Readmissions

-  RY25 RRIP - Patient Adversity Index and Disparity Gap Report
-  RY25 Readmissions Summary
-  RY25 Readmission Patient Level Details
-  RY25 Readmissions Patient Level Details - Base Period
  - 210001 - Meritus Medical Center
  - 210002 - University Of Maryland Medical Center
  - 210003 - UM Capital Region Medical Center
  - Expand to view reports of other hospitals
-  RY24 Readmissions Summary
-  RY24 Readmission Patient Level Details
-  RY24 Readmissions Patient Level Details - Base Period
-  RY24 RRIP - Patient Adversity Index and Disparity Gap Report

Users can click the heart to favorite the report.

Users can view archived reports by clicking the clock icon.

A legend of the icons appears at the bottom of the page.

Interactive Reports Application Links Static Reports Archive Documentation

94



# Quality Financial Impact Dashboard (QFID)

7160 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, MD 21046  
877.952.7477 | [info@crisphealth.org](mailto:info@crisphealth.org)  
[www.crisphealth.org](http://www.crisphealth.org)



# Background on Quality Financial Impact Dashboard

## Purpose of the Dashboard:

- To give executive leadership high-level insight on their year-to-date performance in the quality pay-for-performance programs as it relates to the overall budget in the Global Budget Revenue (GBR) model

The screenshot shows a web application interface for the Quality Financial Impact Dashboard. On the left is a sidebar titled 'Populations & Programs' with a dropdown arrow, containing menu items: Public Health, Administration Reports, All-Payer Population, Medicare Population, HSCR Regulatory Reports (highlighted in green), MDPCP Reports, Internal Reports, Introduction, and Favorites. The central area is titled 'Reports' with a close icon, listing: CDS-A Reports, Demographics Adjustment, Market Shift, Man/and Hospital Acquired Conditions (MHAC), Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU), Quality Based Reimbursement (QBR), Quality Financial Impact Dashboard (highlighted in blue), Readmissions, and Transfer. The right-hand area is titled 'Quality Financial Impact Dashboard' and contains a header with a heart icon, the text 'Quality Financial Impact Dashboard', and a small bar chart icon and a question mark icon.





## Report Features

The hospital filter at the top of the screen allows users to select which hospital(s) they want to view in the dashboard. Please select “Apply” after selecting the hospitals.

The green to red bar shows users how close or far they are from the reward/penalty cutpoint.


**Red** indicates performance that would receive a penalty

**Blue** (if applicable) represents a revenue-neutral “hold harmless zone”

**Green** represents performance receiving a reward

Hospital:

- 210049 - UM-Upper Chesapeake Medical Center
- 210051 - Doctors Community Medical Center
- 210056 - Medstar Good Samaritan
- 210057 - Shady Grove Adventist Hospital
- 210058 - UM-Rehabilitation & Orthopaedic Institute
- 210060 - Adventist Healthcare Fort Washington Medical Center
- 210061 - Atlantic General Hospital
- 210062 - Medstar Southern Maryland Hospital Center
- 210063 - UM-St. Joseph Medical Center





## QFID Report Features

The comparison year on the left half of the screen allows users to change what year they are comparing against the current year. Please note that comparison years will use the current year's rate logic

The “Month YTD” filter allows users to change which data load they are using as the current performance period.

The Excel and Print features allows the users to export the report they are viewing.

The screenshot displays the QFID report interface. At the top, there is a "Comparison Year" section with a dropdown menu showing "CY20", "CY19", and "CY20". Below this is an "Improvement" section with the following text: "Change in Case Mix Adj. Rate: -8.88%", "Estimated % Reward/Penalty: 0.55%", "Estimated \$ Reward/Penalty: \$2,067,318", and "Improvement Target < -3.07% for Reward". In the center, there is a "Month YTD:" filter with a dropdown menu showing "Oct 2021" (selected), "Sep 2021", "Aug 2021", "Jul 2021", and "Jun 2021". At the bottom, there are three buttons: "Print Summary", "Excel", and "Print Details".



## QFID Caveats and Notes

- The revenue adjustments in this dashboard are estimates, based on a hospital's last approved global budget
  - These revenue adjustment estimates will be updated to exact totals for the current rate-year through the update factor process at the end of the fiscal year
  - The revenue percentages are also provided, and hospitals are welcome to apply these percentages against their current global budget projections
- Hospital rankings are calculated by sorting on “% Reward/Penalty” from highest percent reward
- Current performance and financial impact are calculated to reflect the performance to -date and resultant financial impact, and will be updated throughout the year as new data become available
- RY25 methodology will be updated in the report on July 14<sup>th</sup>, 2023



# QFID Tabs

QFID has five tabs: one for the total financial impact and one for each of the four quality programs with each program's current performance year financial impact and salient performance metrics. To view a page, the user must click on the box for the tab they want to view





# Summary View Total Tab

The Total page allows users to view the current and comparison years along with the % change for each of the quality programs and the total quality revenue adjustment.



Total

Comparison Year  
CV20

Hospital ID	Hospital Name	RRIP			MHAC			Total Quality Revenue Adjustment			PAU		
		Current Year	Comparison Year	% Change	Current Year	Comparison Year	% Change	Current Year	Comparison Year	% Change	Current Year	Comparison Year	% Change
		\$1,222,761	\$936,950	30.59%	\$891,769	-\$148,628	700.00%	\$2,114,530	\$787,722	168.44%	-\$1,170,251	-\$814,736	-43.64%
		\$19,819,152	\$12,715,761	55.86%	-\$2,543,152	\$11,868,044	-121.43%	\$17,276,000	\$24,583,805	-29.73%	-\$5,980,647	-\$4,631,557	-29.13%
	ter	\$3,782,078	\$2,379,697	58.93%	\$0	\$0		\$3,782,078	\$2,379,697	58.93%	-\$1,114,364	-\$928,990	-19.95%
		\$928,149	\$2,067,318	-55.10%	\$0	\$0		\$928,149	\$2,067,318	-55.10%	-\$1,261,546	-\$979,063	-28.85%
		\$2,172,114	\$583,370	272.34%	-\$407,062	\$325,650	-225.00%	\$1,765,052	\$909,020	94.17%	-\$986,998	-\$687,659	-43.53%
		-\$302,149	-\$69,756	-333.15%	\$0	\$0		-\$302,149	-\$69,756	-333.15%	-\$446,691	-\$274,928	-62.48%
		\$312,482	\$849,433	-63.21%	-\$1,290,070	\$0		-\$977,587	\$849,433	-215.09%	-\$1,516,321	-\$1,107,880	-36.87%
		\$13,473,289	\$13,322,523	1.13%	-\$13,225,359	-\$13,754,373	3.85%	\$247,930	-\$481,850	157.41%	-\$7,804,199	-\$6,562,106	-18.93%
	i	\$2,070,387	\$2,185,952	-6.29%	\$0	\$0		\$2,070,387	\$2,185,952	-5.29%	-\$1,220,504	-\$1,018,306	-19.86%
		\$2,842,348	\$4,565,725	-37.75%	\$1,527,449	\$1,221,959	25.00%	\$4,369,797	\$5,787,684	-24.50%	-\$2,466,822	-\$1,738,356	-41.91%
		\$4,101,474	\$3,168,610	29.44%	\$211,241	\$0		\$4,312,715	\$3,168,610	36.11%	-\$2,093,541	-\$1,621,290	-29.13%
		-\$1,308,251	\$1,339,045	-197.70%	\$2,966,791	-\$247,233	1300.00%	\$1,658,540	\$1,091,813	51.91%	-\$851,004	-\$630,124	-35.05%
		\$478,292	\$239,146	100.00%	\$446,405	\$478,292	-6.67%	\$924,697	\$717,437	28.89%	-\$76,306	-\$58,413	-30.63%
		\$1,048,998	\$861,536	21.76%	\$459,486	-\$229,743	300.00%	\$1,508,484	\$631,793	138.76%	-\$411,409	-\$371,054	-10.88%
		\$2,127,750	\$2,690,119	-20.90%	\$3,766,166	\$2,331,436	61.54%	\$5,893,916	\$5,021,555	17.37%	-\$1,197,679	-\$980,695	-22.13%
		\$1,312,516	\$2,161,945	-39.27%	\$0	\$0		\$1,312,516	\$2,161,945	-39.27%	-\$841,072	-\$610,330	-37.81%
		-\$1,436,590	-\$1,146,192	-25.34%	\$0	\$0		-\$1,436,590	-\$1,146,192	-25.34%	-\$1,649,077	-\$949,904	-73.60%
		-\$294,880	\$831,621	-135.46%	\$2,118,556	\$1,412,370	50.00%	\$1,823,676	\$2,243,991	-18.73%	-\$1,600,942	-\$1,181,236	-35.53%
		\$2,692,342	\$1,404,298	91.72%	-\$843,837	-\$482,193	-75.00%	\$1,848,505	\$922,106	100.47%	-\$915,476	-\$671,416	-36.35%
		-\$231,043	\$451,189	-151.21%	\$978,316	\$326,105	200.00%	\$747,273	\$777,295	-3.86%	-\$481,542	-\$373,627	-28.88%
		\$2,589,981	\$3,998,223	-35.22%	\$0	-\$1,066,193	100.00%	\$2,589,981	\$2,932,031	-11.67%	-\$2,726,812	-\$1,922,514	-41.84%
		\$259,773	\$129,886	100.00%	\$0	\$0		\$259,773	\$129,886	100.00%	-\$58,031	-\$53,799	-7.87%
		\$227,897	-\$39,227	680.97%	-\$559,355	\$0		-\$331,458	-\$39,227	-744.97%	-\$469,672	-\$351,984	-33.44%
		\$2,067,137	\$1,528,962	35.20%	\$2,242,478	\$407,723	450.00%	\$4,309,615	\$1,936,685	122.53%	-\$683,055	-\$524,216	-30.30%
		\$1,233,643	\$386,943	218.82%	\$662,358	\$0		\$1,896,001	\$386,943	390.00%	-\$860,442	-\$693,890	-24.00%
		\$338,333	\$388,746	-12.97%	\$338,586	\$451,448	-25.00%	\$676,919	\$840,194	-19.43%	-\$447,301	-\$324,112	-38.01%
		\$2,008,451	\$1,134,701	77.00%	\$0	\$0		\$2,008,451	\$1,134,701	77.00%	-\$416,615	-\$315,132	-32.20%
		\$2,206,292	\$1,103,145	100.00%	\$0	-\$404,486	100.00%	\$2,206,292	\$698,659	215.79%	-\$918,108	-\$803,821	-14.22%
		-\$284,694	\$165,686	-271.83%	-\$572,613	-\$248,962	-130.00%	-\$857,307	-\$83,276	-929.47%	-\$371,456	-\$267,681	-38.77%
		\$1,154,656	\$733,640	57.39%	\$1,527,769	\$2,196,168	-30.43%	\$2,682,425	\$2,929,808	-8.44%	-\$908,510	-\$671,805	-35.23%
		\$677,531	\$1,299,305	-47.85%	\$2,018,154	\$1,651,217	22.22%	\$2,695,684	\$2,950,522	-8.64%	-\$1,633,871	-\$1,125,264	-45.20%



# Summary View MHAC (Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions) Tab

MHAC

Print Excel MHAC Summary Report

MHAC

Comparison Year  
Cv20

The multi hospital view shows the MHAC score, estimated reward/penalty in percent and dollars as well as the hospital rank for the selected hospital(s). The same measures are available for the comparison year.

Hospital ID	Hospital Name	Current Year			Hospital Rank	Comparison Year			Hospital Rank
		MHAC Score	Estimated \$ Reward/Penalty	Estimated % Reward/Penalty		MHAC Score	Estimated \$ Reward/Penalty	Estimated % Reward/Penalty	
76.00%		\$891,769	0.40%	17	58.00%	-\$149,628	-0.07%	32	
54.00%		-\$2,543,152	-0.20%	37	84.00%	\$11,860,044	0.93%	6	
63.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	61.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
70.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	69.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
55.00%		-\$407,062	-0.17%	35	72.00%	\$325,650	0.13%	19	
66.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	70.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
45.00%		-\$1,290,070	-0.50%	40	70.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
35.00%		-\$13,225,359	-0.83%	44	34.00%	-\$13,754,373	-0.87%	41	
65.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	63.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
75.00%		\$1,527,449	0.33%	19	74.00%	\$1,221,999	0.27%	15	
71.00%		\$211,241	0.07%	22	67.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
94.00%		\$2,966,791	1.60%	3	56.00%	-\$247,233	-0.13%	33	
98.00%		\$446,405	1.87%	2	100.00%	\$478,292	2.00%	1	
78.00%		\$469,486	0.53%	15	52.00%	-\$229,743	-0.27%	34	
91.00%		\$3,766,166	1.40%	5	83.00%	\$2,331,436	0.87%	7	
67.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	66.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
68.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	65.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
82.00%		\$2,118,556	0.80%	12	78.00%	\$1,412,370	0.53%	12	
46.00%		-\$843,837	-0.47%	39	52.00%	-\$482,193	-0.27%	34	
88.00%		\$978,316	1.20%	8	76.00%	\$326,105	0.40%	14	
63.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	52.00%	-\$1,066,193	-0.27%	34	
63.00%		\$0	0.00%	23					
36.00%		-\$559,355	-0.80%	43	66.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
92.00%		\$2,242,478	1.47%	4	74.00%	\$407,723	0.27%	15	
78.00%		\$662,358	0.53%	15	60.00%	\$0	0.00%	20	
76.00%		\$338,586	0.40%	17	78.00%	\$451,448	0.53%	12	
69.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	70.00%	\$0	0.00%	21	
62.00%		\$0	0.00%	23	49.00%	-\$404,486	-0.37%	40	
37.00%		-\$572,613	-0.77%	41	50.00%	-\$248,962	-0.33%	38	
86.00%		\$1,527,769	1.07%	10	93.00%	\$2,196,168	1.53%	3	
81.00%		\$2,018,154	0.73%	13	79.00%	\$1,651,217	0.60%	10	
55.00%		-\$426,170	-0.17%	35	50.00%	-\$852,341	-0.33%	38	




# Detail View MHAC Tab

MHAC tab includes: MHAC score, estimated percent reward/penalty, estimated financial reward/penalty, hospital rank for MHAC, and tables for the observed versus expected PPC

First table shows the PPCs the hospital is being held accountable. The blue bar is the observed PPC occurrence; the orange line is the expected

The second PPC tables the actual values for each PPC and the OE ratio. Red means the observed is higher than expected and green means the observed is lower than expected





# MHAC Additional Reports

MHAC

Print Exit **MHAC Summary Report**

MHAC Comparison Year: CYS0

Hospital ID	Hospital Name	Current Year			Comparison Year			Hospital Rank	
		MHAC Score	Estimated \$ Reward/Penalty	Estimated % Reward/Penalty	MHAC Score	Estimated \$ Reward/Penalty	Estimated % Reward/Penalty		
		76.00%	\$891,769	0.40%	17	88.00%	-\$148,628	-0.07%	32
		54.00%	-\$2,543,152	-0.20%	37	84.00%	\$11,988,044	0.93%	6
		63.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	61.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		70.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	69.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		55.00%	-\$407,062	-0.17%	35	72.00%	\$325,680	0.13%	19
		66.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	70.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		45.00%	-\$1,290,070	-0.50%	40	70.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		35.00%	-\$13,225,559	-0.93%	44	34.00%	-\$13,764,573	-0.97%	42
		65.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	63.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		75.00%	\$1,527,449	0.33%	19	74.00%	\$1,221,959	0.27%	18
		71.00%	\$211,241	0.07%	22	67.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		94.00%	\$2,966,791	1.60%	3	56.00%	-\$247,233	-0.12%	33
		98.00%	\$446,425	1.87%	2	100.00%	\$470,292	2.00%	1
		78.00%	\$459,456	0.63%	15	82.00%	-\$229,743	-0.27%	34
		91.00%	\$3,786,186	1.40%	5	83.00%	\$2,331,436	0.87%	7
		67.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	66.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		68.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	65.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		82.00%	\$2,118,556	0.80%	12	78.00%	\$1,412,370	0.63%	12
		46.00%	-\$643,837	-0.47%	39	52.00%	-\$482,193	-0.27%	34
		88.00%	\$978,326	1.20%	8	76.00%	\$326,255	0.40%	14
		63.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	62.00%	-\$1,066,183	-0.27%	34
		63.00%	\$0	0.00%	23				
		36.00%	-\$559,355	-0.80%	43	66.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		92.00%	\$2,242,478	1.47%	4	74.00%	\$407,723	0.27%	16
		78.00%	\$662,355	0.83%	15	40.00%	\$0	0.00%	20
		76.00%	\$338,586	0.40%	17	78.00%	\$451,448	0.53%	12
		89.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	70.00%	\$0	0.00%	21
		62.00%	\$0	0.00%	23	49.00%	-\$404,486	-0.37%	40
		37.00%	-\$574,613	-0.77%	45	50.00%	-\$248,862	-0.12%	38
		86.00%	\$1,527,769	1.07%	10	93.00%	\$2,196,168	1.53%	3
		81.00%	\$2,018,154	0.73%	13	79.00%	\$1,651,217	0.60%	10
		55.00%	-\$426,170	-0.17%	35	80.00%	-\$852,341	-0.33%	38

## Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions (MHAC)

- RY25 MHAC Monthly Summary
- RY25 MHAC Monthly Details
- RY24 MHAC Monthly Summary
- RY24 MHAC Monthly Details
- RY24 MHAC Quarter Final Summary
- RY24 MHAC Quarter Final Details

Static Reports Archive Documentation

Clicking the “MHAC Summary Report” button at the top right on either the summary or details page will launch the full selection of reports supporting the MHAC Program.





# Avoidable Admissions Report

7160 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, MD 21046  
877.952.7477 | [info@crisphealth.org](mailto:info@crisphealth.org)  
[www.crisphealth.org](http://www.crisphealth.org)<sup>105</sup>



# Avoidable Admissions Report

- The Avoidable Admissions Report allows users to see per capita Prevention Quality Indicators (PQI) and Pediatric Quality Indicators (PDI) values.
- The report displays PQIs and PDIs that are assigned to hospitals based on geographic attribution.
- The following tabs are available:
  - Savings Performance
  - Summary by PQI
  - Summary by PDI
  - PQIs by Zip



# Avoidable Admissions Report

**Populations & Programs**

- Public Health
- Administration Reports
- All-Payer Population
- Medicare Population
- HSCRC Regulatory Reports
- MDPCP Reports

**Reports**

- Demographics Adjustment
- Market Shift
- Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions (MHAC)
- Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU)**
- Quality Based Reimbursement (QBR)
- Quality Financial Impact Dashboard
- Readmissions

**Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU)**

- RY25 PAU Summary - Reference - With COVID
- RY25 PAU Details - With COVID
- RY24 PAU Summary - Reference - With COVID
- RY24 PAU Details - With COVID
- RY24 PAU Savings - Performance - With COVID
- RY24 Avoidable Admission Report - With COVID**
- Maryland Emergency Department Multiple Visit Patient (MVP) Draft Monitoring R...

Interactive Reports | Application Links | Static Reports | Archive | Documentation



# Filters

Filter	Description
Year	Year in which the PQI occurred.
Hospital Name	Hospital to which the PQIs are attributed. This is not necessarily the hospital where the visit occurred.
Payer	Primary expected payer as listed in case mix data
Gender	Patient Gender
Age Group	Patient Age, distributed into available ACS census age groups.



# Avoidable Admissions Report

## Avoidable Admissions Report

### Adults Summary by PQI

	Population Adult	Overall Composite	Overall Composite per 1000	Diabetes Composite	Diabetes Composite per 1000	Acute Composite	Acute Composite per 1000	COPD/Asthma per 1000	COPD/Asthma per 1000	Hyperten..	Hypertensio per 1000	Congestive Heart Failure	Congestive Heart Failure per 1000
Statewide	4,730,712	49,447	10.45	10,869	2.30	9,821	2.08	8,942	1.89	3,364	0.71	16,457	3.48
Selected Hospitals Subtotal	4,615,659	48,600	10.53	10,689	2.32	9,622	2.08	8,780	1.90	3,318	0.72	16,197	3.51
..	119,281	1,974	16.55	415	3.48	462	3.87	538	4.51	95	0.80	464	3.89
..	43,952	882	20.06	221	5.04	108	2.45	172	3.91	93	2.11	288	6.54
..	91,364	1,103	12.07	267	2.93	158	1.73	182	1.99	84	0.92	412	4.51
..	223,332	1,404	6.29	332	1.49	322	1.44	165	0.74	106	0.48	479	2.14
..	203,384	1,628	8.00	331	1.63	408	2.01	332	1.63	93	0.46	465	2.29
..	36,640	530	14.48	101	2.77	111	3.04	119	3.26	31	0.86	168	4.57
..	75,248	1,447	19.23	356	4.74	188	2.50	299	3.98	142	1.89	461	6.13
..	50,393	1,061	21.06	259	5.14	132	2.62	246	4.88	99	1.96	326	6.46
..	120,813	1,509	12.49	369	3.06	269	2.23	249	2.06	132	1.09	490	4.05
..	147,537	2,343	15.88	518	3.51	421	2.86	382	2.59	174	1.18	848	5.75
..	109,546	2,016	18.41	380	3.47	355	3.24	476	4.34	122	1.11	684	6.24
..	220,011	1,475	6.70	368	1.67	273	1.24	200	0.91	115	0.52	519	2.36
..	18,733	185	9.88	41	2.19	46	2.46	46	2.46	7	0.37	45	2.40
..	89,911	609	6.78	120	1.34	161	1.79	81	0.90	39	0.43	209	2.32
..	127,250	1,134	8.91	294	2.31	210	1.65	183	1.44	113	0.89	335	2.63
..	208,824	977	4.68	175	0.84	272	1.30	105	0.50	53	0.25	371	1.78
..	236,904	2,287	9.65	422	1.78	594	2.51	378	1.60	62	0.26	831	3.51
..	81,170	1,462	18.01	339	4.17	203	2.50	287	3.54	154	1.90	479	5.90
..	65,227	969	14.86	163	2.50	209	3.20	211	3.23	64	0.98	322	4.94
..	91,028	1,085	11.92	197	2.16	223	2.45	221	2.43	74	0.81	370	4.06
..	63,529	1,211	19.07	275	4.33	164	2.58	278	4.37	91	1.44	404	6.36
..	72,945	1,013	13.88	211	2.89	256	3.51	268	3.68	46	0.63	232	3.18
..	138,634	1,583	11.42	268	1.93	496	3.58	298	2.15	62	0.45	459	3.31
..	32,738	580	17.71	151	4.61	88	2.68	162	4.96	40	1.23	138	4.23
..	119,065	866	7.27	231	1.94	163	1.29	146	1.23	43	0.36	293	2.46
..	27,014	583	21.58	139	5.15	74	2.74	103	3.81	68	2.53	199	7.36
..	73,939	611	8.26	163	2.20	98	1.33	97	1.31	13	0.18	240	3.25
..	62,344	1,343	21.55	374	5.99	200	3.21	403	6.46	85	1.36	405	6.49

Year Selection

Hospital Name

Attribution Category

Gender

Age Group

Race

Payer (affects numerator only)

Casemix Data Available Through:  
 4/30/2023

PQI rates do not include risk adjustment methodology.  
 AHRQ PQI version 2021.  
 Data is annualized so that calendar year to date data is presented as if that rate continued for the rest of the year. Data is refreshed monthly.  
 Census-based population data is refreshed as it becomes publicly available.  
 MPA Y5 (RY2024) attribution is used for the MPA portion of the algorithm. See User Guide for further explanation.



# Readmissions Summary

7160 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, MD 21046  
877.952.7477 | [info@crisphealth.org](mailto:info@crisphealth.org)  
[www.crisphealth.org](http://www.crisphealth.org)<sup>10</sup>



# Readmissions Summary Overview

- The report allows users to filter and drilldown their hospital's readmission data.
- The following tabs are available
  - Landing Page
  - Improvement
  - Attainment
  - Trends & Locations
  - Unadjusted Hospital Readmission Trends
  - Case-mix Adjusted Readmission Trends
  - Service Line Readmission Analysis
  - Length of Discharge to Readmission ( **Requires PHI access**)
  - Forecasting
  - Patient Level Details (**Requires PHI access**)
  - Documentation
  - Summary by Month



# Readmissions Summary

**Populations & Programs**

- Public Health
- Administration Reports
- All-Payer Population
- Medicare Population
- HSCRC Regulatory Reports
- MDPCP Reports

**Reports**

- Demographics Adjustment
- Market Shift
- Maryland Hospital Acquired Conditions (MHAC)
- Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU)
- Quality Based Reimbursement (QBR)
- Quality Financial Impact Dashboard
- Readmissions**

**Readmissions**

- RY25 RRIP - Patient Adversity Index and Disparity Gap Report
- RY25 Readmissions Summary
- RY25 Readmission Patient Level Details
- RY25 Readmissions Patient Level Details - Base Period
- RY24 Readmissions Summary**
- RY24 Readmission Patient Level Details
- RY24 Readmissions Patient Level Details - Base Period
- RY24 RRIP - Patient Adversity Index and Disparity Gap Report

Interactive Reports Application Links Static Reports Archive Documentation






# Filters

Filter	Description
Basic Period Structure	View either the complete base period (Based on CY2018 data) and/or matched YTD performance period.
Discharge Date	Select the year(s) of discharge.
Hospital Name	Filter on one or more hospitals
Index APR Service Line	Filter groups services into higher level categories, which is based on the index hospital.
Index APR Value	APR value from the index hospital.
Need Type	<u>High Utilizer</u> : 3+ bedded care visits (inpatient and observation stays over 24 hours) in the 12 months prior to their index visit <u>Rising Needs</u> : 2+ visits bedded care or ED in the 12 months before their index visit
Payer	Filter based on the type of payer (commercial, Medicare, Medicaid, and charity/self-pay)
Primary Diagnosis	Diagnosis at index visit
Race	Race reported by hospital at visit



# Readmissions Summary



- Refresh – Used to refresh data for complex queries
- Revert – restores page to default view
- Pause – prevents the report from loading for each filter sections. Extremely useful if making multiple sections during a session.

- Print – export view into a PDF

## Readmissions Landing Page


Hospital Name: Statewide | Payer: (All) | Need Type: Any | Base Period Structure: Match Performance Period

RY2025 Readmission Reduction Incentive Program  
 Base Period: CY2018 (January - March)  
 Performance Period: CY2023 (January - March)  
 APR DRG Grouper v40 (Base and Performance)  
 Readmissions through March 2023

	Improvement		Attainment		Revenue Adjustment	
	Casemix Adjusted Readmission Rate	Readmission Ratio (O/E)	Casemix Adjusted Rate with OOS Adjustment	Out of State (OOS) Ratio	Improvement Scaling	Attainment Scaling
	Base Period	Performance..	Base Period	Performance..		
Statewide	12.21%	10.79%	1.079	0.953		

## Monthly Casemix Adjusted Readmission Rates

Time Period: Month





# Statewide Integrated Health Improvement Strategy (SIHIS) Overview

7160 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, MD 21046


877.952.7477 | [info@crisphealth.org](mailto:info@crisphealth.org)

[www.crisphealth.org](http://www.crisphealth.org)<sup>15</sup>




## Statewide Integrated Health Improvement Strategy (SIHIS)

- SIHIS is designed to engage State agencies and private-sector partners to collaborate and invest in improving health, addressing disparities, and reducing costs for Marylanders.
- CMMI approved the SIHIS goals in March 2021.
- More information on SIHIS can be found on the HSCRC website.  
<https://hscrc.maryland.gov/Pages/Statewide-Integrated-Health-Improvement-Strategy.aspx>





# Statewide Goals Across Three Domains



## Hospital Quality

- Reduce avoidable admissions
- Improve Readmission Rates by Reducing Within-Hospital Disparities

## Care Transformation Goals

- Increase the amount of Medicare TCOC or number of Medicare beneficiaries under value-based care models\*
- Improve care coordination for patients with chronic conditions

## Total Population Health Goals

- Priority Area 1 (Diabetes): Reduce the mean BMI for adult Maryland residents
- Priority Area 2 (Opioids): Improve overdose mortality
- Priority Area 3 (Maternal and Child Health):
  - Reduce severe maternal morbidity rate
  - Decrease asthma-related emergency department visit rates for ages 2-17



## SIHIS Directional Indicators Dashboard Overview

- Many of the data sources used for official SIHIS monitoring are calculated annually on delayed data sources.
- CRISP partnered with HSCRC and MDH to identify proxy measures that would enable reporting in a timely fashion. The reporting suite is referred to as “directional indicators”
- The reports include either proxy or actual measures for all the SIHIS goals
- The reporting suite has separate modules for each of the domains: Hospital Quality; Care Transformation across the System; and Population Health
- The SIHIS Directional Indicators Dashboard is updated in the middle of the month



# Readmissions Disparity Gap Directional Indicator Report

[Help](#)
[Print](#)
[Go to Readmission Report](#)

## Introduction

- Measure aims to capture the number of hospitals that reduce readmission disparities by 50% or more by 2026.
- Readmission rates by hospital are examined according to levels of patient adversity as measured by the Patient Adversity Index (PAI).

## Reported Measure


- Reported in Casemix data
- Success in the measure is defined as at least 50% of hospitals having achieved at least a 50% reduction in

## Key Findings

- Presents the big picture findings
  - 6 Maryland hospitals are on track to meet the target by 2026
- There is a lag in the data presented
  - Data available through date in top right corner of chart

## Readmissions Disparity Gap Comparison Charts

- Able to view and filter by hospital to see which hospitals are on track and how each hospital trends by the categories of PAI.





# Support and Training

- To request access or support with credentialing, contact [support@crisphealth.org](mailto:support@crisphealth.org)
- For questions about the reports within CRS or suggestions for report enhancements contact [report-support@crisphealth.org](mailto:report-support@crisphealth.org)
- Detailed User Guides are available for all reports on the CRS website
- Webinars on select reports are on the CRISP Learning System website ([crisphealth.org/learning-system/crs](http://crisphealth.org/learning-system/crs))



# Accessing Reports

- Email your Organization's CRS Point of Contact (POC) to request access to portal:
  - Request should specify hospital and level of access (summary vs. case-level)
  - Access will be granted to all hospital reports (i.e., not program specific)
- CRS Point of Contact (CFO or designee) confirm and approve access requests for each organization
- Questions regarding **content** of static reports or report **policy** should be directed to the HSCRC quality email ([hscrc.quality@maryland.gov](mailto:hscrc.quality@maryland.gov))
- Questions regarding **access** issues or **tableau** reports should be directed to CRISP Support email ([support@crisphealth.org](mailto:support@crisphealth.org))

## Non-HSCRC Quality Resources

- [Why Not the Best?](#)
- [CMS Care Compare](#)
- [MHCC Health Care Quality Reports](#)
- [QualityNet](#)
- [LeapFrog Hospital Safety Grades](#)
- [US News & World Report - Hospital Rankings](#)
- [Commonwealth Fund Report](#)

## Acknowledgments

Thanks to the Performance Measurement Work Group members, MHA, CRISP, the hospital industry, consumers, and other stakeholders for their work on developing and vetting Maryland's performance-based payment methodologies.

## Q & A

- Please type your Question into the Questions Bar
- Additional or unanswered questions can be emailed to the HSCRC Quality mailbox: [hscrc.quality@maryland.gov](mailto:hscrc.quality@maryland.gov)
- Thank you again for your participation!