# Report to the Commission:

# Performance Work Group Report on Efficiency and Cost Measures

Health Services Cost Review Commission
4160 Patterson Avenue Baltimore, MD 21215
(410) 764-2605
July 9, 2014

#### INTRODUCTION

The charge of Performance Measurement Workgroup is to make recommendations on what specific measures of cost, care and health should be considered for adoption, retention or development in order to evaluate and incentivize performance improvements under the population-based All-Payer Model. This measurement and payment approach also relates to the policy objectives of establishing payment levels that are reasonably related to the cost of providing services on an efficient basis in accordance with the value concepts embodied in the new All-Payer Model. The Performance Measurement Workgroup participated in discussions of the overall context of developing efficiency measurement options as well as presentations of specific examples of efficiency measures. While much of the content touched upon in the Workgroup meetings is included in the subsections of the report that follow, the Performance Measurement Workgroup members agreed that first an overall strategy must be developed that articulates the principles or criteria and stakeholders or users for guiding measure implementation.

This report summarizes the work to date in this area, including strategy considerations, discussions, presentations and measurement options to move forward for the efficiency measurement domain.

#### EFFICIENCY MEASUREMENT STRATEGY CONSIDERATIONS

Regarding the efficiency measurement strategy, Figure 1 below illustrates the key principles and stakeholders proposed by the Workgroup that must be addressed in measure selection and implementation.

Figure 1. Efficiency Measurement Proposed Principles and Stakeholders

Pri	nciples/criteria to guide measure domains to be implemented:
*	Accountability
	> Payment
	Public reporting
	Program monitoring and evaluation
*	Improvement
*	Alignment with Model targets and monitoring commitments
Sta	akeholders
*	Policymakers – CMS, HSCRC (commission, staff), MHCC, DHMH
*	Providers – hospitals, physicians, others
*	Payers/purchasers – health plans, employers?
*	Patients – consumers

The CMS Measures Blueprint 10.1 identifies several criteria for measurement selection that overlap with those identified by the Performance Measurement Workgroup and offer additional criteria that should be considered when developing and implementing new efficiency measures.

• Measure is responsive to specific program goals and statutory requirements.

 Measure addresses an important condition or topic with a performance gap and has a strong scientific evidence base to demonstrate that the measure when implemented can lead to the desired outcomes and more affordable care (i.e., NQF's Importance criteria).

- Measure addresses one or more of the six National Quality Strategy (NQS) priorities.<sup>1</sup>
- Measure selection promotes alignment with CMS program attributes.
- Measure reporting is feasible and measures have been fully developed and tested.
- Measure results and performance should identify opportunities for improvement.
- Potential use of the measure in a program does not result in negative unintended consequences like reduced lengths of stay, overuse or inappropriate use of treatment, and limiting access to care.

Maryland's near term efficiency measurement and payment approach must focus on the policy objectives to establish payment levels that are reasonably related to the cost of providing services on an efficient basis in accordance with the value concepts embodied in, and requirements of, the new All-Payer Model. From both the policy and hospital providers' perspectives, it is vital that Maryland meets the cost reduction targets set forth in the New All-payer Model contract with CMMI, so measures that track or incentivize cost reduction are important to consider for the nearer term, with an anticipated implementation timeframe of 2015. Among the possible measures for this purpose are the Potentially Avoidable Utilization measures and an updated measure based upon the measure developed by Reasonableness of Charges /Inter-hospital Cost Comparison methodology used previously by HSCRC.

A set of efficiency measurement tools must also be fine-tuned to assess the fairness rates set for hospitals in their global budgets, and they should address accountability at multiple levels, as illustrated below.

o Service

- unit of service
- for a single patient
- provided by one entity
- Episode
  - bundle of services
  - for a single or multiple patients
  - provided by one or more entities
- o Population
  - wide range of services
  - for multiple individuals
  - provided by one or more entities

Examples of measures that may be used for benchmarking and trending Maryland efficiency that

\_

More population-based

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.ahrq.gov/workingforquality/about.htm

should be considered earlier for development include:

- A Maryland resident per member per month cost measure, and
- Maryland allowed to Medicare allowed ratios, both for state internal comparisons and national benchmark comparisons.

Measures such as these would likely be first monitored and then used for accountability, with results targeted for providers and policymakers.

Further work of an efficiency measurement sub-group to be established in July 2014 will be to consider the audience(s) of the measures staged over time for the various accountability and transparency purposes and levels. For example, the group needs to consider Maryland's recent grade of F for pricing transparency and the timing and staging of public reporting of pricing data for the consumer audience.

A phased approach to measuring efficiency could begin with measuring cost and appropriateness, with reporting of measures of cost and clinical quality outcomes side-by-side. The next phase could progress to using measures of efficiency that roll-up cost and clinical quality, or actually measure efficiency as a valid and reliable composite measure. It is also important to recognize that other types of quality measures, such as readmissions and complications/adverse events, also have implications for cost, and thereby, efficiency.

#### **EFFICIENCY MEASUREMENT**

#### **Definition of Efficiency and Value**

Efficiency measurement is a complex topic. One reason for the complexity is that people use different terminology and definitions to describe efficiency. National organizations such as the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), the National Quality Forum (NQF), and the Ambulatory Quality Alliance (AQA) have undertaken efforts to define efficiency. The general agreement among these efforts is that efficiency is a function of quality and cost, such that efficiency = quality/cost. In that way, efficiency can be maximized by increasing quality, decreasing costs, or both; but cheaper is not necessarily more efficient. It follows that to measure efficiency, both quality and cost components are necessary.

The terms *value* and *affordability* are subjective assessments of efficiency. They depend on stakeholder perspectives and preferences; that is, the cost to whom and the quality they receive. For example, consumers want the best quality care, but they are sensitive to out-of-pocket costs. A policymaker, such as CMS, which is both a purchaser and payer, wants to maximize health and health care outcomes per unit cost. Hospitals strive for operational efficiency to maximize their operating margins, but they also need to consider appropriateness, such as the need for a CT scan after head trauma.

In thinking about whom or what is measured in assessing efficiency, there is a continuum from less to more population-based. Efficiency can be measured at the service level for one entity, or for episodes of care for a bundle of services, or through population-based measurement by examining a wide range of services provided by one or more entities.

As previously mentioned, there is both a cost component and a quality component to measuring efficiency and there are different inputs for each component. For example, with regard to cost, there are different types of measures (e.g., utilization, condition, total cost), price implications, and time periods. There are also multiple dimensions to consider for quality measurement, such as clinical effectiveness, safety, and patient experience.

#### **Key Efficiency Measurement Components and Potential Sub-Domains**

Once the different components of cost and quality measures have been defined for a particular measurement need, a determination must be made regarding how the components will be linked to measure efficiency. Generally, more precision requires a more complex measurement algorithm. Options for linking cost and quality measures to assess efficiency include side-by-side display (aggregate or condition-specific), indexing, roll-up scoring with weighting, and a composite measure.

Another way to assess efficiency is to measure inefficiency, including areas such as waste (e.g., appropriateness, overuse), safety (e.g., harm, complications), care coordination (e.g., readmissions, duplicate tests), patient engagement (e.g., misalignment with preferences), population health (e.g., missed prevention or patient education opportunities), and operational (e.g., throughput, staffing, workforce injuries).

Appendix A of this document provides the results of an initial measure scan for efficiency measures. Examples of these measures listed with their associated measure category include:

- Cost/resource use
  - Utilization counts of services
  - o Casemix-Adjusted Inpatient Hospital Average Length of Stay, for medical and surgical admissions (United Health Group)
  - o Intensive Care Unit Length of Stay, observed and risk-adjusted (Lee Institute)
- Condition- or procedure-specific cost/resource use
  - o Episode Treatment Groups, e.g., hip/knee, pneumonia (Optum)
  - o CMS draft resource use measures
- Total cost/resource use individual or population
  - o Payment-Standardized Medicare Spending per Beneficiary (CMS)
  - o Total Cost of Care/Resource Use Population-Based PMPM Index (HealthPartners)
- Appropriateness/Overuse
  - o Appropriate Head CT Imaging in Adults with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (Partners HealthCare)
  - o Back Pain series, e.g., surgical timing, imaging (NCQA)
  - Cardiac Imaging for Preoperative Risk Assessment for Non-Cardiac Low-Risk Surgery (CMS)
  - Cardiac Stress Imaging: Routine Testing After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (ACC)
  - Prostate Cancer: Avoidance of Overuse of Bone Scan for Staging Low Risk Prostate Cancer Patients (AMA-PCPI)
  - Cesarean Section, nulliparous women with term, singleton baby in a vertex position (TJC)

Some specific examples of how cost and quality are being linked together include:

- Displaying results as an index
  - O The NCQA Relative Resource Use (RRU) measures provide total annual resource use results for diabetes, asthma, COPD, cardiovascular conditions, hypertension, and low back pain, which are reported as an indexed observed-to-expected ratio for a plan's population. The RRU index and quality index are then linked together.
- Roll-up with weighting
  - o CMS (FY 2015) combines together results from clinical process of care (20%), patient experience of care (30%), outcomes (30%) and efficiency (20%) to provide a total performance score.
  - Leapfrog Hospital Recognition Program combines the hospital's quality score (65%) with their resource use score (35%) to generate an overall value score.

#### **HSCRC** Approach to Efficiency Measurement

#### Reasonableness of Charges (ROC)

As stated previously, historically the HSCRC has included some form of efficiency measure in its arsenal of tools used to set Maryland hospital rates. Most recently, the Reasonableness of Charges (ROC) was the HSCRCSs tool for measuring efficiency, which assessed the adequacy of each hospital's charges on a <u>per case</u> basis relative to their peer institutions in the state. This is accomplished by placing hospitals into peer groups and comparing the ROC after adjusting for a number of legitimate factors that account for differences in costs faced by each hospital.

The factors that need to be adjusted for, before comparing hospitals within a peer group, include the following:

- Mark-up Commission approved markups over costs that largely reflect uncompensated care built into each hospital's rate structure.
- Direct Medical Education, Nurse Education, and Trauma Adjustments that remove part of the costs of residents' salaries and some of the incremental costs of providing trauma services for hospitals with trauma centers.
- Labor Market Adjustor— an index that reflects differences in labor costs that are outside a hospital's control.
- Case Mix Adjustment accounts for differences in average patient acuity across hospitals.
- Indirect Medical Education- Adjustment for inefficiencies and unmeasured patient acuity associated with teaching programs.
- Disproportionate Share Adjustment for differences in hospital costs for treating relatively high number of poor and elderly patients
- Capital Costs for a hospital are partially recognized for each hospital, the ROC recognizes 50 percent of its actual capital costs and 50 percent of the peer group's costs.

After these adjustments the HSCRC uses the ROC to determine rate actions when hospitals are relatively high compared to their peers. If a hospital is more than 3 percent above its peer group average, the HSCRC will enter into discussions with the hospital to reduce its rates. The target is usually to reduce rates to the peer group average on a per case basis.

#### Maryland Resident Per Member Per Month Costs

As the hospital payment system moves towards global payments, there is a need to align the efficiency measures with population based metrics. Currently the HSCRC staff is working to calculate costs per Maryland resident similar to PMPM measures. In addition to determination of what adjustments should be made to hospital charges such as what HSCRC included in ROC calculations, defining the denominator for each hospital and adding additional adjustments to reflect the health status of this defined population will be critical in comparing cost per resident across hospitals. In addition, the HSCRC needs to expand the cost definitions from hospital services to include all other health care provision and secure timely access to Medicare, Medicaid and private claims data to measure total cost.

The formula for calculating PMPM costs is as follows:

PMPM Costs = Adjusted Total Revenue for Maryland Residents / Total Maryland Population

As with the ROC analysis, the PMPM costs for hospitals will be adjusted so that the legitimate factors that result in costs differences between hospitals are removed.

#### Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU)

While more comprehensive PMPM measures are being developed, the Performance Measurement Workgroup also has had various discussions on defining potentially avoidable utilization, which represents immediate opportunities to focus under the new All-payer Model. The definition of potentially avoidable utilization is as follows:

"Hospital care that is unplanned and can be prevented through improved care coordination, effective primary care and improved population health".

The HSCRC work to date has focused on existing measures that are used widely in the public domain where the potentially avoidable cost of care can be attributed, and include the following:

- Rehospitalization
  - o Inpatient- All Hospital, All Cause 30 Day Readmissions using CMS methodology with adjustment for planned admissions
  - o ED any visit within 30 days of an inpatient admission
  - Observation- any observation within 30 days of an inpatient admission
- Potentially Avoidable Admissions/Visits
  - o Inpatient- Agency for Health Care Quality (AHRQ) Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) eke. Ambulatory care sensitive admissions

 Hospital Acquired Conditions as measured by Potentially Preventable Complications (PPCs)

As the list illustrates, these measures are also used for quality of care measurement and provide good examples of the intersection between better quality and reduced costs. The Performance Measurement Workgroup identified the lack of ambulatory care measures and this should be further explored by the efficiency measures sub-group that will be convened.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Ensuring efficient hospital costs have been one of the central missions of the HSCRC and the new All-payer Model will require developing and redefining the efficiency measures that can be used to evaluate hospital performance in the state. As the system is moving toward population-based approaches and in a transitional period, phasing should begin by focusing on the obvious opportunities to meet model targets.

Potentially avoidable utilization cost measures are currently used as one of the many data points for constructing global budgets, and are monitoring as they represent clear a relationship between improved quality of care and reduced cost. In addition, they are highly prevalent in Medicare population and a focused approach to reduce PAUs in this population will ensure the saving targets for Medicare are met. Discussions are underway in the Payment Workgroup on how to incorporate performance on PAUs into some of the payment policies.

HSCRC staff will work in the near term to adjust and adapt the former ROC ICC methodology to and begin monitoring performance. Adjustments or additional ROC calculation steps may be needed to account for a shift from case-based measurement to episode- and population-based measurement.

Staff will also work to develop and adopt a resident per member per month methodology that encompasses defined hospital populations with a goal to use them for payment adjustments for FY 2016 at the earliest; at first, it is anticipated that the efficiency measurement will include inpatient and outpatient services costs, and then expand to the full range services provided or the total cost of care. Staff will consider other options to combine the cost measures with quality measures in order to construct a full picture of efficiency.

Going forward, the Commission and external performance measurement stakeholders should additionally monitor activities related to efficiency measurement that other prominent groups are undertaking, such as CMS' implementation of the Hospital Value-Based Purchasing and Physician Value-Based Payment Modifier programs; NQF's initiatives in endorsement of cost and resource use measures and episode grouper evaluation criteria, linking cost and clinical quality, and the MAP Affordability Family of Measures; and the Choosing Wisely initiative which focuses on appropriate care choices by physicians and patients.

## Appendix A

### **EFFICIENCY-RELATED MEASURES**

Initial Scan

### COST AND RESOURCE USE MEASURES

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes					
UTILIZA	UTILIZATION									
1	United Health Group	0328	Casemix-Adjusted Inpatient Hospital Average Length of Stay	This measure calculates a casemix-adjusted inpatient average length of stay (ALOS) for medical and surgical admissions for Commercial and Medicare populations. The measure can be reported at the hospital level or the service category level (medical vs. surgical).						
2	Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies	0702	Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)	For all patients admitted to the ICU, total duration of time spent in the ICU until time of discharge; both observed and risk-adjusted LOS reported with the predicted LOS measured using the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS).						
3	AHRQ	0340	Pediatric Heart Surgery Volume (PDI 7)	Number of discharges with procedure for pediatric heart surgery						
4	Virtual PICU Systems, LLC	0334	PICU Severity- adjusted Length of Stay	The number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.						
5	Premier, Inc.	0327	Risk-Adjusted Average Length of Inpatient Hospital Stay	Percentage of inpatient & outpatients with excessive in-hospital days						
6	Leapfrog Group	0331 (though no longer endorsed)	Severity- Standardized Average Length of Stay Routine Care (risk adjusted)	Standardized average length of hospital stay (ALOS) for routine inpatient care (i.e., care provided outside of intensive care						

Row#	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
				units).	
7	The Society of	0732	Surgical Volume for	Surgical volume for	
	Thoracic		Pediatric and	pediatric and congenital	
	Surgeons		Congenital Heart	heart surgery: total	
			Surgery: Total	programmatic volume and	
			Programmatic	programmatic volume	
			Volume and	stratified by the five STS-	
			Programmatic	EACTS Mortality Levels, a	
			Volume Stratified by	multi-institutional validated	
			the Five STS-EACTS	complexity stratification	
			Mortality Categories	tool	
CONDI	TION- OR PROC	EDURE-SP	ECIFIC		
8		1560	Relative Resource	The risk-adjusted relative	NCQA computes a
			Use (RRU) for	resource use by patients	relative resource
			People with Asthma	with asthma during the	use index and a
				measurement year.	quality index
9		1557	Relative Resource	The risk-adjusted relative	(derived from the
			Use for People with	resource use by patients	NCQA quality
			Diabetes	with diabetes (type 1 and	measures for each
				type 2) during the	specific condition)
				measurement year.	to allow for
10		1558	Relative Resource	The risk-adjusted relative	comparison of
			Use for People with	resource use by patients	plans on both
			Cardiovascular	with specific cardiovascular	resource use and
			Conditions	conditions during the	quality at the
	- -			measurement year.	same time.
11		1561	Relative Resource	The risk-adjusted relative	The DDII
			Use for People with	resource use by patients	The RRU
			Chronic Obstructive	with COPD during the	measures are
	-		Pulmonary Disease	measurement year.	population based measures that are
12			Relative Resource	The risk-adjusted relative	
			Use for People with	resource use by patients	used to compare health plans or
			Hypertension	with hypertension during	ACOs on
12	-		Dolativo Dagarras	the measurement year.	resources used to
13			Relative Resource	The risk-adjusted relative	care for
			Use for People with Low Back Pain	resource use by patients	beneficiaries with
			LOW Back Pain	with low back pain during	six conditions.
				the measurement year.	Six conditions.
					Published tables
					allow
					organizations to
					match severity-
					adjusted resource
					use within service
					categories
					categories

Row#	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
					(Inpatient Facility,
					Surgery and
					Procedure,
					Evaluation and
					Management
					(E&M), and
					Pharmacy) to a
					standardized
					allowed payment
					in order to
					calculate total
					standard costs for
					their eligible
					members across
					different areas of
					clinical care.
14	Optum	1609	ETG Based	The measure focuses on	This measure is a
	opta		HIP/KNEE	resources used to deliver	per episode
			REPLACEMENT cost	episodes of care for	evaluation. A
			of care measure	patients who have	number of
				undergone a Hip/Knee	resource use
				Replacement. Hip	measures are
				Replacement and Knee	defined for
				Replacement episodes are	Hip/Knee
				initially defined using the	Replacement
				Episode Treatment Groups	episodes,
				(ETG) methodology and	including overall
				presence describe the	cost of care, cost
				unique of the condition for	of care by type of
				a patient and the services	service, and the
				involved in diagnosing,	utilization of
				managing and treating the	specific types of
				condition.	services.
15	Optum	1611	ETG Based	The measure focuses on	A number of
15	Optuin	1011	PNEUMONIA cost of	resources used to deliver	resource use
			care measure	episodes of care for	measures are
			care measure	patients with pneumonia.	defined for
				Pneumonia episodes are	pneumonia
				defined using the Episode	episodes,
				Treatment Groups (ETG)	including overall
				methodology and describe	cost of care, cost
				the unique presence of the	of care by type of
				condition for a patient and	service, and the
				the services involved in	utilization of
				diagnosing, managing and	specific types of
				treating pneumonia.	services. Each
					resource use

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
ROW#	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	measure is expressed as a cost or a utilization count per episode and comparisons with internal and external benchmarks are made using risk adjustment to support valid
16	CMS	N/A Not endorsed	Condition-specific per capita cost measures for COPD, diabetes, HF, and CAD	The ratio of all actual Medicare FFS Parts A and B payments to a physician or medical group for beneficiaries attributed to them over a calendar year with one of four specific chronic health conditions— diabetes, coronary artery disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and heart failure— to all expected payments to the physician or medical group for those beneficiaries, multiplied by the payment for the average beneficiary in the sample.	comparisons.
17	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Ischemic Heart Disease Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
18	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Acute Myocardial Infarction Condition Phase Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
19	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Treatment Episode for CMS Episode	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
			Grouper		
20	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Heart Catheterization Treatment Episode	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD	
			for CMS Episode Grouper		
21	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Treatment Episode for CMS Episode	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
22	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Grouper Draft: Hip Osteoarthritis Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD	
23	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Hip Replacement/Revisi on Treatment Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD	
24	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Hip/Femur Fracture Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD	
25	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Hip/Femur Fracture Repair Treatment Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD	
26	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Knee Osteoarthritis Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
27	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Knee Replacement/Revisi on Treatment Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
28	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Shoulder Osteoarthritis Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	

Row#	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
29	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Shoulder	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Replacement/Repai	caring for the condition	
			r Treatment	(duration TBD)	
			Episode for CMS		
			Episode Grouper		
30	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Asthma	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Condition Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
			Grouper		
31	CMS	N/A not	Draft:	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Bronchiectasis	caring for the condition	
			Condition Episode	(duration TBD)	
			for CMS Episode		
			Grouper		
32	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Chronic	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Bronchitis/Emphyse	caring for the condition	
			ma Condition	(duration TBD)	
			Episode for CMS		
			Episode Grouper		
33	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Cataract	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Condition Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
			Grouper		
34	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Cataract	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Treatment Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
			Grouper		
35	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Glaucoma	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Condition Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
			Grouper		
36	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Glaucoma	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Treatment Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
27	01.46	21/2	Grouper	5 6 5	
37	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Retinal	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Disease Condition	caring for the condition	
			Episode for CMS	(duration TBD)	
20	0.46	1 1/4 :	Episode Grouper	D 6 D	
38	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Retinal	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Disease Treatment	caring for the condition	
			Episode for CMS	(duration TBD)	
20	0.46	11/4	Episode Grouper	D 0 D	
39	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Heart Failure	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Condition Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
			Grouper		
40	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Cardiac Arrhythmia Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
41	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Heart Block Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
42	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Cardioversion Treatment Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
43	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Pacemaker/AICD Implantation Treatment Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
44	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Pneumonia Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
45	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Respiratory Failure Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
46	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Hypertension Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
47	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Shock/Hypotension Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
48	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Nephropathy/Renal Failure Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	
49	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Diabetes Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in caring for the condition (duration TBD)	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
50	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Sepsis/SIRS	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Condition Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
			Grouper		
51	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Ischemic	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Cerebral Artery	caring for the condition	
			Disease Condition	(duration TBD)	
			Episode for CMS		
			Episode Grouper		
52	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Carotid	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Artery Stenosis	caring for the condition	
			Treatment Episode	(duration TBD)	
			for CMS Episode		
			Grouper		
53	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Breast Cancer	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Condition Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
			Grouper		
54	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Breast Cancer	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Treatment Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
			Grouper		
55	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Lung Cancer	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Condition Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
F.C.	Ch 4C	N1/A 1	Grouper	Dark Branch and the	
56	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Lung Cancer	Draft: Resources used in	
		endorsed	Treatment Episode	caring for the condition	
			for CMS Episode	(duration TBD)	
57	CMS	N/A not	Grouper Draft: Prostate	Draft: Resources used in the	
37	CIVIS	endorsed	Cancer Treatment	episodes attributed to the	
		endorsed	Episode for CMS	provider	
			Episode for Civis	provider	
58	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Prostate	Draft: Resources used in the	
	3.7.5	endorsed	Cancer Condition	episodes attributed to the	
		Chaorsea	Episode for CMS	provider	
			Episode Grouper	p. 51.65.	
59	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Colon Cancer	Draft: Resources used in the	
		endorsed	Condition Episode	episodes attributed to the	
		330.300	for CMS Episode	provider	
			Grouper	F	
60	CMS	N/A not	Draft: Colon Cancer	Draft: Resources used in the	
		endorsed	Treatment Episode	episodes attributed to the	
			for CMS Episode	provider	
			Grouper		
<u> </u>	L	L	P - 5"	<u> </u>	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
61	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Dementia Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in the episodes attributed to the provider	
62	CMS	N/A not endorsed	Draft: Back Pain Condition Episode for CMS Episode Grouper	Draft: Resources used in the episodes attributed to the provider	
TOTAL	. COST				
63	HealthPartners	1604	Total Cost of Care Population-based PMPM Index	Total Cost Index (TCI) is a measure of a primary care provider's risk adjusted cost effectiveness at managing the population they care for. TCI includes all costs associated with treating members including professional, facility inpatient and outpatient, pharmacy, lab, radiology, ancillary and behavioral health services.	Per capita (population- or patient-based).
64	HealthPartners	1598	Total Resource Use Population-based PMPM Index	The Resource Use Index (RUI) is a risk adjusted measure of the frequency and intensity of services utilized to manage a provider group's patients. Resource use includes all resources associated with treating members including professional, facility inpatient and outpatient, pharmacy, lab, radiology, ancillary and behavioral health services.	Per capita (population- or patient-based)
65	CMS	2158	Payment- Standardized Medicare Spending Per Beneficiary (MSPB)	The MSPB Measure assesses the cost of services performed by hospitals and other healthcare providers during an MSPB hospitalization episode, which comprises the period immediately prior to, during, and following a patient's	This measure is a per episode evaluation.

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
				hospital stay. Beneficiary	
				populations eligible for the	
				MSPB calculation include	
				Medicare beneficiaries	
				enrolled in Medicare Parts	
				A and B who were	
				discharged from short-term	
				acute hospitals during the	
				period of performance.	
66	CMS	N/A Not	Total Per Capita	The ratio of all actual	
		endorsed	Cost Measure	Medicare FFS Parts A and B	
				payments to a physician or	
				medical group for	
				beneficiaries attributed to	
				them over a calendar year	
				to all expected payments	
				to the physician or medical	
				group, multiplied by the	
				payment for the average	
				beneficiary in the sample.	

## APPROPRIATENESS/OVERUSE

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
67	AHRQ	0357	Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) Repair Volume (IQI 4)	The number of hospital discharges with a procedure for abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) repair for patients 18 years and older or obstetric patients. Includes metrics for the number of discharges grouped by diagnosis and procedure type.	
68	AHRQ	0355	Bilateral Cardiac Catheterization Rate (IQI 25)	Percent of discharges with heart catheterizations in any procedure field with simultaneous right and left heart (bilateral) heart catheterizations.	
69	AHRQ	0361	Esophageal Resection Volume (IQI 1)	Number of discharges with a procedure for esophogeal resection	
70	AHRQ	0366	Pancreatic Resection Volume	The number of hospital discharges with a procedure	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
			(IQI 2)	code of partial or total	
				pancreatic resection for	
				patients 18 years and older	
				or obstetric patients.	
				Excludes acute pancreatitis	
				admissions.	
71	AMA-PCPI	0654	Acute Otitis	Percentage of patients aged	
			Externa: Systemic	2 years and older with a	
			antimicrobial	diagnosis of AOE who were	
			therapy –	not prescribed systemic	
			Avoidance of	antimicrobial therapy	
			inappropriate use		
72	Partners	0755	Appropriate	Percent of adult patients	
	HealthCare		Cervical Spine	undergoing cervical spine	
	System, Inc.		Radiography and	radiography or CT imaging	
			CT Imaging in	for trauma who have a	
			Trauma	documented evidence-	
				based indication prior to	
				imaging (Canadian C-Spine	
				Rule or the NEXUS Low-Risk	
				Criteria).	
73	Partners	0668	Appropriate Head	Percent of adult patients	
	HealthCare		CT Imaging in	who presented within 24	
	System, Inc.		Adults with Mild	hours of a non-penetrating	
			Traumatic Brain	head injury with a Glasgow	
			Injury	coma score (GCS) >13 and	
				underwent head CT for	
				trauma in the ED who have	
				a documented indication	
				consistent with guidelines(1)	
				prior to imaging.	
74	NCQA	0002	Appropriate	The percentage of children	
			Testing for Children	2–18 years of age who were	
			With Pharyngitis	diagnosed with pharyngitis,	
			(CWP)	dispensed an antibiotic and	
				received a group A	
				streptococcus (strep) test	
				for the episode. A higher	
				rate represents better	
				performance (i.e.,	
				appropriate testing).	
75	NCQA	0069	Appropriate	Percentage of children 3	
			treatment for	months to 18 years of age	
			children with upper	with a diagnosis of URI who	
			respiratory	were not dispensed an	
			infection (URI)	antibiotic medication.	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
76	NCQA	0058	Avoidance of	The percentage of adults	
			Antibiotic	18–64 years of age with a	
			Treatment in	diagnosis of acute bronchitis	
			Adults with Acute	who were not dispensed an	
			Bronchitis	antibiotic prescription.	
77	NCQA	0315	Back Pain:	Percentage of patients at	
			Appropriate	least 18 years of age and	
			Imaging for Acute	younger than 80 with a	
			Back Pain	diagnosis of back pain for	
				whom the physician ordered	
				imaging studies during the	
				six weeks after pain onset,	
				in the absence of "red flags"	
				(overuse measure, lower	
				performance is better).	
78	NCQA	0309	Back Pain:	Percentage of patients at	
			Appropriate Use of	least 18 years of age and	
			Epidural Steroid	younger than 80 with back	
			Injections	pain who have received an	
				epidural steroid injection in	
				the absence of radicular	
				pain AND those patients	
				with radicular pain who	
				received an epidural steroid	
				injection without image	
				guidance (i.e. overuse	
				measure, lower	
				performance is better).	
79	NCQA	0312	Back Pain: Repeat	Percentage of patients at	
			Imaging Studies	least 18 years of age and	
				younger than 80 with a back	
				pain episode of 28 days or	
				more who received	
				inappropriate repeat	
				imaging studies in the	
				absence of red flags or	
				progressive symptoms	
				(overuse measure, lower	
				performance is better).	
80	NCQA	0305	Back Pain: Surgical	Percentage of patients at	
			Timing	least 18 years of age and	
				younger than 80 with a back	
				pain episode of 28 days or	
				more without	
				documentation of red flags	
				who had surgery within the	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
				first six weeks of back pain	
				onset (overuse measure,	
				lower performance is	
				better).	
81	CMS	0669	Cardiac Imaging for	This measure calculates the	
			Preoperative Risk	percentage of low-risk, non-	
			Assessment for	cardiac surgeries performed	
			Non-Cardiac Low-	at a hospital outpatient	
			Risk Surgery	facility with a Stress	
				Echocardiography, SPECT	
				MPI or Stress MRI study	
				performed in the 30 days	
				prior to the surgery at a	
				hospital outpatient facility	
				(e.g., endoscopic,	
				superficial, cataract surgery,	
				and breast biopsy	
				procedures). Results are to	
				be segmented and reported	
				by hospital outpatient	
				facility where the imaging	
02	Amariana Callana	0670	Candiacaturas	procedure was performed.	
82	American College of Cardiology	0670	Cardiac stress	Percentage of stress SPECT	
	Foundation		imaging not meeting	MPI, stress echo, CCTA, or CMR performed in low risk	
	Touridation		appropriate use	surgery patients for	
			criteria:	preoperative evaluation	
			Preoperative	preoperative evaluation	
			evaluation in low		
			risk surgery		
			patients		
83	American College	0671	Cardiac stress	Percentage of all stress	
	of Cardiology		imaging not	SPECT MPI, stress echo,	
	Foundation		meeting	CCTA and CMR performed	
			appropriate use	routinely after PCI, with	
			criteria: Routine	reference to timing of test	
			testing after	after PCI and symptom	
			percutaneous	status.	
			coronary		
			intervention (PCI)		
84	American College	0672	Cardiac stress	Percentage of all stress	
	of Cardiology		imaging not	SPECT MPI, stress echo,	
	Foundation		meeting	CCTA, and CMR performed	
			appropriate use	in asymptomatic, low CHD	
			criteria: Testing in	risk patients for initial	
			asymptomatic, low	detection and risk	

Row#	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
			risk patients	assessment	
85	Partners	0667	Inappropriate	Percent of patients	
	HealthCare		Pulmonary CT	undergoing CT pulmonary	
	System, Inc.		Imaging for	angiogram for the	
			Patients at Low	evaluation of possible PE	
			Risk for Pulmonary	who are at low-risk for PE	
			Embolism	consistent with guidelines	
				prior to CT imaging.	
86	CMS	0514	MRI Lumbar Spine	This measure calculates the	
			for Low Back Pain	percentage of MRI of the	
				Lumbar Spine studies with a	
				diagnosis of low back pain	
				on the imaging claim and for	
				which the patient did not	
				have prior claims-based	
				evidence of antecedent	
				conservative therapy.	
87	AMA-PCPI	0655	Otitis Media with	Percentage of patients aged	
			Effusion:	2 months through 12 years	
			Antihistamines or	with a diagnosis of OME	
			decongestants –	were not prescribed or	
			Avoidance of	recommended to receive	
			inappropriate use	either antihistamines or	
				decongestants	
88	AMA-PCPI	0657	Otitis Media with	Percentage of patients aged	
			Effusion: Systemic	2 months through 12 years	
			antimicrobials –	with a diagnosis of OME	
			Avoidance of	who were not prescribed	
			inappropriate use	systemic antimicrobials	
89	AMA-PCPI	0656	Otitis Media with	Percentage of patients aged	
			Effusion: Systemic	2 months through 12 years	
			corticosteroids –	with a diagnosis of OME	
			Avoidance of	who were not prescribed	
			inappropriate use	systemic corticosteroids	
90	AMA-PCPI	0562	Overutilization of	Percentage of patients,	
			Imaging Studies in	regardless of age, with a	
			Melanoma	current diagnosis of Stage 0	
				through IIC melanoma or a	
				history of melanoma of any	
				stage, without signs or	
				symptoms suggesting	
				systemic spread, seen for an	
				office visit during the one-	
				year measurement period,	
				for whom no diagnostic	
				imaging studies were	

Row#	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
				ordered	
91	The Joint Commission	0469	PC-01 Elective Delivery	This measure assesses patients with elective vaginal deliveries or elective cesarean sections at >= 37 and < 39 weeks of gestation completed.	
92	The Joint Commission	0471	PC-02 Cesarean Section	This measure assesses the number of nulliparous women with a term, singleton baby in a vertex position delivered by cesarean section.	
93	AMA-PCPI	0389	Prostate Cancer: Avoidance of Overuse of Bone Scan for Staging Low Risk Prostate Cancer Patients	Percentage of patients, regardless of age, with a diagnosis of prostate cancer at low risk of recurrence receiving interstitial prostate brachytherapy, OR external beam radiotherapy to the prostate, OR radical prostatectomy, OR cryotherapy who did not have a bone scan performed at any time since diagnosis of prostate cancer	
94	CMS	0513	Thorax CT: Use of Contrast Material	This measure calculates the percentage of thoracic CT studies that are performed with and without contrast out of all thoracic CT studies performed	
95	NCQA	0052	Use of Imaging Studies for Low Back Pain	The percentage of members with a primary diagnosis of low back pain who did not have an imaging study (plain x-ray, MRI, CT scan) within 28 days of the diagnosis.	
96	CMS	N/A Not endorsed	Overuse of Diagnostic Imaging for Uncomplicated Headache	DRAFT: Percentage of all adult (>=18 years old) uncomplicated headache patients who received an order for a brain computed tomography (CT), computed tomography angiogram (CTA), magnetic resonance	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
				(MR), or magnetic	
				resonance angiogram (MRA)	
				study during the	
				measurement period.	
97	CMS	N/A Not	Appropriate Use of	DRAFT: Percentage of	
		endorsed	DXA Scans in	women ages 18 to 64	
			Women Under 65	without select risk factors	
			Who Do Not Meet	for osteoporotic fracture	
			the Risk Factor	who received an order for a	
			Profile	dual-energy x-ray	
				absorptiometry (DXA) scan	
98	ACEP	N/A Not	Avoidance of	Percentage of emergency	
		endorsed	inappropriate use	department patients with	
			of head CT in ED	minor head injury who	
			patients with minor	received inappropriate	
			head injury	imaging study (not clinically	
				indicated)	
99	ACEP	N/A Not	Avoidance of	Percentage of emergency	
		endorsed	inappropriate use	department patients aged	
			of imaging for adult	>= 18 years with atraumatic	
			ED patients with	low back pain who received	
			atraumatic low	an inappropriate imaging	
			back pain	study (not clinically	
				indicated)	
100	American Society	0213	Proportion	Percentage of patients who	
	of Clinical		admitted to the	died from cancer admitted	
	Oncology		ICU in the last 30	to the ICU in the last 30 days	
			days of life	of life	
101	American Society	0215	Proportion not	Percentage of patients who	
	of Clinical		admitted to	died from cancer not	
	Oncology		hospice	admitted to hospice	
102	American Society	0210	Proportion	Percentage of patients who	
	of Clinical		receiving	died from cancer receiving	
	Oncology		chemotherapy in	chemotherapy in the last 14	
			the last 14 days of	days of life	
			life		
103	American Society	0211	Proportion with	Percentage of patients who	
	of Clinical		more than one	died from cancer with more	
	Oncology		emergency room	than one emergency room	
			visit in the last days	visit in the last days of life	
			of life		
104	Alabama	1381	Asthma Emergency	Percentage of patients with	
	Medicaid Agency		Department Visits	asthma who have greater	
				than or equal to one visit to	
				the emergency room for	
				asthma during the	

Row #	Steward	NQF#	Title	Description	Notes
				measurement period.	
105	CMS	0173	Emergency	Percentage of home health	
			Department Use	stays in which patients used	
			without	the emergency department	
			Hospitalization	but were not admitted to	
				the hospital during the 60	
				days following the start of	
				the home health stay.	